arXiv:1210.3315v1 [math.CV] 11 Oct 2012

GENERALIZED HILBERT OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES

JOSÉ ÁNGEL PELÁEZ AND JOUNI RÄTTYÄ

Abstract. The main purpose of this paper is to study the generalized Hilbert operator

$$\mathcal{H}_g(f)(z) = \int_0^1 f(t)g'(tz)\,dt$$

acting on the weighted Bergman space A^p_ω, where the weight function ω belongs to the class $\mathcal{R}$ of regular radial weights and satisfies the Muckenhoupt type condition

$$(\dagger)\quad \sup_{0\le r<1}\left(\int_r^1\left(\int_t^1 \omega(s)ds\right)^{-\frac{p'}{p}}dt\right)^{\frac{p}{p'}}\int_0^r(1-t)^{-p}\left(\int_t^1\omega(s)ds\right)dt<\infty.$$

If $q=p$, the condition on g that characterizes the boundedness (or the compactness) of $\mathcal{H}_g : A^p_\omega \to A^q_\omega$ depends on p only, but the situation is completely different in the case $q \ne p$ in which the inducing weight ω plays a crucial role. The results obtained also reveal a natural connection to the Muckenhoupt type condition ($\dagger$). Indeed, it is shown that the classical Hilbert operator (the case $g(z) = \log\frac{1}{1-z}$ of $\mathcal{H}_g$) is bounded from $L^p_{\int_t^1 \omega(s)\,ds}([0,1))$ (the natural restriction of A^p_ω to functions defined on $[0,1)$) to A^p_ω if and only if ω satisfies the condition ($\dagger$). On the way to these results decomposition norms for the weighted Bergman space A^p_ω are established.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ denote the space of all analytic functions in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. A function $\omega : \mathbb{D} \to (0,\infty)$, integrable over $\mathbb{D}$, is called a *weight function* or simply a *weight*. It is *radial* if $\omega(z) = \omega(|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. For $0 < p < \infty$ and a weight ω, the *weighted Bergman space* A^p_ω consists of those $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$\|f\|^p_{A^p_\omega} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \omega(z)\,dA(z) < \infty,$$

Date: October 12, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30H20; Secondary 47G10.

Key words and phrases. Generalized Hilbert operator, weighted Bergman space, Muckenhoupt weight, regular weight, rapidly increasing weight.

This research was supported in part by the Ramón y Cajal program of MICINN (Spain), Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain, (MTM2007-60854), from La Junta de Andalucía, (FQM210) and (P09-FQM-4468), Academy of Finland 121281, MICINN- Spain ref. MTM2008-05891, European Networking Programme HCAA of the European Science Foundation.

where $dA(z)=\frac{dx\,dy}{\pi}$ is the normalized Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. As usual, we write A^p_α for the classical weighted Bergman space induced by the standard radial weight $\omega(z)=(1-|z|^2)^\alpha$ with $-1<\alpha<\infty$.

Every $g\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ induces the *generalized Hilbert operator* $\mathcal{H}_g$, defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_g(f)(z)=\int_0^1 f(t)g'(tz)\,dt,\quad f\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}). \tag{1}$$

The sharp condition

$$\int_0^1\left(\int_t^1\omega(s)ds\right)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}dt<\infty,\quad p>1, \tag{2}$$

ensures that the integral in (1) defines an analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$ for each $f\in A^p_\omega$. The choice $g(z)=\log\frac{1}{1-z}$ in (1) gives an integral representation of the *classical Hilbert operator* $\mathcal{H}$. The Hilbert operator $\mathcal{H}$ is a prototype of a Hankel operator which has attracted a considerable amount of attention during the last years in operator theory on spaces of analytic functions. Questions related to the boundedness, the operator norm and the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}$ have been studied in [1, 4, 5, 6]. These studies reveal a natural connection from $\mathcal{H}$ to the weighted composition operators, the Szegö projection and the Legendre functions of the first kind. For further information on $\mathcal{H}$, the reader is invited to see the recent monograph [7, Chapter 13].

The primary purpose of this paper is to study the operator $\mathcal{H}_g$ acting on the weighted Bergman space A^p_ω induced by a radial weight ω. We are particularly interested in basic properties such as the question of when $\mathcal{H}_g:A^p_\omega\to A^q_\omega$ is bounded or compact.

As far as we know, the generalized Hilbert operator $\mathcal{H}_g$ has not been extensively studied in the existing literature. The operator was introduced recently in [9], where it was shown, among other things, that the membership of the analytic symbol g to the mean Lipschitz space $\Lambda\left(p,\frac{1}{p}\right)$ characterizes the boundedness of $\mathcal{H}_g$ on the Bergman space A^p_α $(-1<p-2<\alpha<\infty)$, on the Hardy space H^p $(1<p\le 2)$ and also on certain Dirichlet type spaces. The proofs of these results are based on the identity $(\mathcal{H}_g)'(f)=\mathcal{H}_{g'}(zf)$ together with properties of the maximum modulus and the smoothness of the sequence of moments $\left\{\int_0^1 t^k|f(t)|\,dt\right\}_{k=0}^\infty$ of functions in these spaces.

The approach we take to the study of $\mathcal{H}_g$ allows us to determine those analytic symbols g for which $\mathcal{H}_g:A^p_\omega\to A^q_\omega,\ 1<p,q<\infty$, is bounded or compact, provided the regular weight ω (see Section 2 for the definition) satisfies the Muckenhoupt type condition

$$\sup_{0\le r<1}\left(\int_r^1\left(\int_t^1\omega(s)ds\right)^{-\frac{p'}{p}}dt\right)^{\frac{p}{p'}}\int_0^r(1-t)^{-p}\left(\int_t^1\omega(s)ds\right)dt<\infty. \tag{3}$$

By the classical results of Muckenhoupt [15], the condition (3) characterizes those real functions $v\in[0,1)\to(0,\infty)$ for which the Hardy type operator $\int_t^1\frac{h(s)}{1-ts}\,ds$

is bounded on $L^p_{\int_t^1 |v(s)|\,ds}$. We mention that each standard radial weight $\omega(r) = (1-r^2)^\alpha$, $-1 < p-2 < \alpha < \infty$, is regular and satisfies (3). Our results show the interesting phenomenon that when the inducing powers of the domain and the target spaces are equal, i. e. $q = p$, then the weight function ω does not play any role in the condition on g that characterizes the boundedness (or the compactness) of $\mathcal{H}_g : A^p_\omega \to A^q_\omega$, although the description depends on p. However, the situation is completely different in the case $q \neq p$ in which the inducing weight ω plays a crucial role.

2. Preliminaries and main results

For $0 < p \leq \infty$, the *Hardy space* H^p consists of those $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$\|f\|_{H^p} = \lim_{r\to 1^-} M_p(r,f) < \infty,$$

where

$$M_p(r,f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p\,d\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

and

$$M_\infty(r,f) = \max_{0\leq\theta\leq 2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|.$$

Throughout the paper, the letter $C = C(\cdot)$ will denote a constant whose value depends on the parameters indicated in the parenthesis, and may change from one occurrence to another. We will use the notation $a \lesssim b$ if there exists $C = C(\cdot) > 0$ such that $a \leq Cb$, and $a \gtrsim b$ is understood in an analogous manner. In particular, if $a \lesssim b$ and $a \gtrsim b$, then we will write $a \asymp b$.

The *distortion function* of a radial weight $\omega : [0,1) \to (0,\infty)$ is defined by

$$\psi_\omega(r) = \frac{1}{\omega(r)}\int_r^1 \omega(s)\,ds, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

It was introduced in [20] on the way to the Littlewood-Paley formulas for weighted Bergman spaces. A radial weight ω is called *regular*, if it is continuous and its distortion function satisfies

$$\psi_\omega(r) \asymp (1-r), \quad 0 \leq r < 1. \tag{4}$$

The class of all regular weights is denoted by $\mathcal{R}$. For basic properties and concrete examples of regular weights, see [18, Chapter 1] and [20], and references therein. At this point we settle to mention that each standard weight $\omega(r) = (1-r^2)^\alpha$ with $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ is regular. From now on we will use the notation $\widehat{\omega}(r) = \int_r^1 \omega(s)\,ds$ so that (4) ensures $\widehat{\omega}(r) \asymp \omega(r)(1-r)$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$. Moreover, for each radial weight ω we will write $\omega_\gamma(r) = (1-r)^\gamma\omega(r)$, $-\infty < \gamma < \infty$, and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_p$ if ω satisfies the Muckenhoupt type condition (3). We will write $\|T\|_{(X,Y)}$ for the norm of an operator $T : X \to Y$, and if no confusion arises with regards to X and Y, we will simply write $\|T\|$.

Our study of $\mathcal{H}_g$ on weighted Bergman spaces leads us to consider other classes of weighted spaces. For $0<p\le\infty$, $0<q<\infty$, $0\le\gamma<\infty$ and a radial weight ω, the *mixed norm space* $H(p,q,\omega_\gamma)$ consists of those $g\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|g\|^q_{H(p,q,\omega_\gamma)}=\int_0^1 M_p^q(r,g)(1-r)^\gamma\omega(r)\,dr<\infty.$$

Moreover, if in addition $-\infty<\beta<\infty$, we will denote $g\in H(p,\infty,(\widehat{\omega}^\beta)_\gamma)$, whenever

$$\|g\|^q_{H(p,\infty,(\widehat{\omega}^\beta)_\gamma)}=\sup_{0<r<1}M_p(r,g)(1-r)^\gamma\widehat{\omega}(r)^\beta<\infty.$$

We will simply write $H(p,q,\omega)$ and $H(p,\infty,\widehat{\omega}^\beta)$ if $\gamma=0$. It is clear that $H(p,p,\omega)=A^p_\omega$. The mixed norm spaces play an essential role in the closely related question of studying the coefficient multipliers on Hardy and weighted Bergman spaces [7].

For given $1\le p<\infty$, $0<\alpha\le1$ and $0\le\beta<\infty$, we say that $g\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ belongs to $\Lambda\left(p,\alpha,\widehat{\omega}^\beta\right)$, if $g'\in H(p,\infty,(\widehat{\omega}^{-\beta})_{1-\alpha})$, that is,

$$\|g\|_{\Lambda\left(p,\alpha,\widehat{\omega}^\beta\right)}=\sup_{0<r<1}\frac{M_p(r,g')(1-r)^{1-\alpha}}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^\beta}+|g(0)|<\infty.$$

Since $0<\alpha\le1$ and $0\le\beta<\infty$, we have $\Lambda\left(p,\alpha,\widehat{\omega}^\beta\right)\subset H^p$, and therefore each function $g\in\Lambda\left(p,\alpha,\widehat{\omega}^\beta\right)$ has a non-tangential limit $g(e^{i\theta})$ almost everywhere on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. Indeed, if $\beta=0$, then $\Lambda\left(p,\alpha,\widehat{\omega}^\beta\right)$ is nothing else but the *mean Lipschitz space* $\Lambda(p,\alpha)$ that consists of those $g\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ having non-tangential limits $g(e^{i\theta})$ almost everywhere and for which

$$\sup_{0<h\le t}\left(\int_0^{2\pi}|g(e^{i(\theta+h)})-g(e^{i\theta})|^p\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\right)^{1/p}=\mathrm{O}(t^\alpha),\quad t\to0,$$

see a classical result of Hardy and Littlewood [8, Theorem 5.4].

We will see that if $1<p<\infty$ and $\omega\in\mathcal{R}\cap\mathcal{M}_p$, then $\mathcal{H}_g:A^p_\omega\to A^p_\omega$ is bounded if and only if $g\in\Lambda(p,\frac1p)$. The spaces $\Lambda(p,\frac1p)$ form a nested scale contained in BMOA [3]:

$$\Lambda\left(q,\frac1q\right)\subset\Lambda\left(p,\frac1p\right)\subset\mathrm{BMOA},\quad 1\le q<p<\infty.$$

The absence of ω in the condition on g that characterizes the boundedness does not come as a surprise in view of [9, Theorem 3]. However, the situation is completely different in the case $q\ne p$ in which the inducing weight ω plays a crucial role. In particular, if $q>p$, then the space $\Lambda\left(q,\frac1p,\widehat{\omega}^{\frac1p-\frac1q}\right)$, that can be described also by a growth condition on the modulus of continuity of order q of $g(e^{i\theta})$ by Proposition 24 below, comes naturally to the picture.

Our main result on $\mathcal{H}_g$ reads as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let* $1<p,q<\infty$, $\omega\in\mathcal{R}\cap\mathcal{M}_p$ *and* $g\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$.

(i) *If* $1<p\le q<\infty$, *then* $\mathcal{H}_g : A^p_\omega \to A^q_\omega$ *is bounded if and only if* $g\in\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)$. *Moreover, if* $g\in\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)$, *then*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_g\|_{\left(A^p_\omega,A^q_\omega\right)} \asymp \|g-g(0)\|_{\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)}.$$

(ii) *If* $1<q<p<\infty$, *then* $\mathcal{H}_g : A^p_\omega \to A^q_\omega$ *is bounded if and only if* $g'\in H\left(q,s,\widehat{\omega}_{s\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right)$, *where* $\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{s}$. *Moreover, if* $g'\in H\left(q,s,\widehat{\omega}_{s\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right)$, *then*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_g\|_{\left(A^p_\omega,A^q_\omega\right)} \asymp \|g'\|_{H\left(q,s,\widehat{\omega}_{s\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right)}.$$

It is easy to see, by using the auxiliary result on $\mathcal{M}_p$, stated as Lemma 7 below, that the space $\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)$ is not trivial if $\omega\in\mathcal{R}\cap\mathcal{M}_p$ no matter how large q is.

Our approach to the study of the boundedness of $\mathcal{H}_g$ on weighted Bergman spaces arises the Muckenhoupt type condition (3) in a natural way. In order to explain this phenomenon better, we recall that the *sublinear Hilbert operator* is defined by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(f)(z)=\int_0^1\frac{|f(t)|}{1-tz}\,dt.$$

We shall see that it behaves like a kind of maximal function for all generalized Hilbert operators under the assumptions of Theorem 1. Indeed, we will show that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{A^q_\omega}\lesssim\|f\|_{A^p_\omega}+\|f\|^{s_1}_{A^p_\omega}\|\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(f)\|^{s_2}_{A^p_\omega},\quad s_1+s_2=1. \tag{5}$$

This together with the sharp inequality

$$\int_0^1 M^p_\infty(r,f)\,\widehat{\omega}(r)\,dr\le\frac{\pi}{2}\|f\|^p_{A^p_\omega}, \tag{6}$$

which can be easily obtained by integrating the known inequality $\int_0^s M^p_\infty(r,f)\,dr\le\pi s M^p_p(s,f)$ [19], lead us to consider the following result of interest of its own.

Theorem 2. *Let* $1<p<\infty$ *and* $\omega\in\mathcal{R}$ *such that* (2) *is satisfied. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathcal{H}: L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}\to A^p_\omega$ *is bounded;*
(ii) $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}: L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}\to A^p_\omega$ *is bounded;*
(iii) ω *satisfies the Muckenhoupt type condition*

$$\mathcal{M}_p(\omega)=\sup_{0\le r<1}\left(\int_r^1\widehat{\omega}(t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\,dt\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_0^r(1-t)^{-p}\,\widehat{\omega}(t)\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, if $\omega\in\mathcal{M}_p$, *then*

$$\|\mathcal{H}\|_{\left(L^p_{\widehat{\omega}},A^p_\omega\right)}\asymp\|\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}\|_{\left(L^p_{\widehat{\omega}},A^p_\omega\right)}\asymp\mathcal{M}_p(\omega).$$

Theorem 2 together with (6) extends [5, Theorem 1] and [9, Theorem 5 (ii)].

Corollary 3. *Let $1<p<\infty$ and $\omega\in\mathcal{R}\cap\mathcal{M}_p$. Then, both the Hilbert operator $\mathcal{H}$ and the sublinear Hilbert operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ are bounded on A^p_ω.*

We also work partially with radial weights ω for which the quotient $\frac{\psi_\omega(r)}{1-r}$ is not bounded. More precisely, we say that a radial weight ω is *rapidly increasing*, if it is continuous and

$$\lim_{r\to1^-}\frac{\psi_\omega(r)}{1-r}=\infty.$$

The class of rapidly increasing weights is denoted by $\mathcal{I}$. It is easy to see that $A^p_\omega\subset A^p_\beta$ for each $\omega\in\mathcal{I}$ and for any $\beta>-1$, see [18, Section 1.4]. Typical examples of rapidly increasing weights are

$$\omega(r)=\left((1-r)\prod_{n=1}^{N}\log_n\frac{\exp_n 0}{1-r}\left(\log_{N+1}\frac{\exp_{N+1}0}{1-r}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{-1}$$

for all $1<\alpha<\infty$ and $N\in\mathbb{N}=\{1,2,\dots\}$. Here, as usual, $\log_n x=\log(\log_{n-1}x)$, $\log_1 x=\log x$, $\exp_n x=\exp(\exp_{n-1}x)$ and $\exp_1 x=e^x$.

The right choice of the norm used is in many cases a key tool for a good understanding of how a concrete operator acts in a given space. Here, an l^p-type norm of the Hardy norms of blocks of the Maclaurin series, whose size depend on the weight ω, provides us an effective skill to study the boundedness and compactness of $\mathcal{H}_g$ on weighted Bergman space A^p_ω. The size of these blocks reflects the growth of the inducing weight ω. We remind the reader that *decomposition results* have been an important tool for the study of a good number of questions on spaces of analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$. They have been applied, for example, when studying coefficient multipliers [7], Carleson measures [10] and the generalized Hilbert operator [9]. The results proved by M. Mateljević and M. Pavlović in [14] (see also [16]) offer such a decomposition result on A^p_ω when $\omega\in\mathcal{R}$. This because a calculation based on [18, Lemma 1.1] says that [14, Theorem 2.1 (b)] works for $\omega\in\mathcal{R}$. However, to the best of our knowledge, results in the existing literature do not cover the less understood case of the class $\mathcal{I}$ of rapidly increasing weights. Indeed, only some special cases have been considered in [10, Theorem 6.1]. We will develop a technique that allows us to give a unified treatment for both classes $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{I}$. Theorem 4 below is our main result in that direction. To give the precise statement, we need to introduce some notation. To do this, let $\omega\in\mathcal{I}\cup\mathcal{R}$ such that $\int_0^1\omega(r)\,dr=1$. For each $\alpha>0$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, let $r_n=r_n(\omega,\alpha)\in[0,1)$ be defined by

$$\widehat{\omega}(r_n)=\int_{r_n}^1\omega(r)\,dr=\frac{1}{2^{n\alpha}}. \tag{8}$$

Clearly, $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence of distinct points on $[0,1)$ such that $r_0=0$ and $r_n\to1^-$, as $n\to\infty$. For $x\in[0,\infty)$, let $E(x)$ denote the integer such that $E(x)\le x<E(x)+1$, and set $M_n=E\left(\frac{1}{1-r_n}\right)$ for short. Write

$$I(0)=I_{\omega,\alpha}(0)=\{k\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}:k<M_1\}$$

and

$$I(n)=I_{\omega,\alpha}(n)=\{k\in\mathbb{N}:M_n\le k<M_{n+1}\}$$

for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. If $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_nz^n$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, define the polynomials $\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha}f$ by

$$\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha}f(z)=\sum_{k\in I_{\omega,\alpha}(n)}a_kz^k,\quad n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}.$$

If $\alpha=1$, we will simply write Δ_n^{ω} instead of $\Delta_n^{\omega,1}$.

Theorem 4. *Let* $1<p<\infty$, $0<\alpha<\infty$ *and* $\omega\in\mathcal{I}\cup\mathcal{R}$ *such that* $\int_0^1\omega(r)\,dr=1$, *and let* $f\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$.

(i) *If* $0<q<\infty$, *then* $f\in H(p,q,\omega)$ *if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}2^{-n\alpha}\|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha}f\|_{H^p}^q<\infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H(p,q,\omega)}\asymp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}2^{-n\alpha}\|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha}f\|_{H^p}^q\right)^{1/q}.$$

(ii) *If* $0<\beta<\infty$, *then* $f\in H(p,\infty,\widehat{\omega}^{\beta})$ *if and only if*

$$\sup_n 2^{-n\alpha\beta}\|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha}f\|_{H^p}<\infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H(p,\infty,\widehat{\omega}^{\beta})}\asymp\sup_n 2^{-n\alpha\beta}\|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha}f\|_{H^p}.$$

The method of proof that we use to establish Theorem 4 can be employed to characterize certain functions in A^p_ω in terms of the coefficients in their Maclaurin series. In fact, we will see that, whenever $\omega\in\mathcal{R}$, a standard lacunary series $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_kz^{n_k}$, $\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k}\ge c>1$, belongs to A^p_ω if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|a_k|^q\int_0^1 r^{2n_k+1}\omega(r)\,dr<\infty.$$

The same is not true in general if ω is rapidly increasing. However, the assertion is valid for $\omega\in\mathcal{I}$ if the Maclaurin series expansion of f has sufficiently large gaps depending on ω. To give the precise statement, let ω be a radial weight. We say that $f\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ is an *ω-lacunary series* in $\mathbb{D}$ if its Maclaurin series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_kz^{n_k}$ satisfies

$$\frac{\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_k}\right)}{\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_{k+1}}\right)}=\frac{\int_{1-\frac{1}{n_k}}^1\omega(r)\,dr}{\int_{1-\frac{1}{n_{k+1}}}^1\omega(r)\,dr}\ge\lambda>1,\quad k\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}.$$

This is a natural generalization of the classical concept of power series with Hadamard gaps, in the sense that, for $\omega\in\mathcal{R}$, the class of ω-lacunary series is nothing else but the set of Hadamard gap series.

Theorem 5. *Let* $0<q<\infty$, $0<p\le\infty$ *and* $\omega\in\mathcal{I}\cup\mathcal{R}$ *such that* $\int_0^1\omega(r)\,dr=1$, *and let* f *be an* ω*-lacunary series in* $\mathbb{D}$*. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $f\in H(p,q,\omega)$;

(ii) $\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|a_k|^q\int_0^1 r^{2n_k+1}\omega(r)\,dr<\infty.$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|^q_{H(p,q,\omega)}\asymp\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|a_k|^q\int_0^1 r^{2n_k+1}\omega(r)\,dr.$$

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 we state and prove some preliminary results on weights and technical results on series with positive coefficients, and prove Theorems 4 and 5. Theorem 2 will be proved in Section 4. In Section 5 we will deal with technical background on Hadamard products which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1, that is given in Section 6. Section 7 is devoted to proving the expected results on the compactness of $\mathcal{H}_g: A^p_\omega\to A^q_\omega$. Finally, in Section 8 we will offer natural alternative descriptions of the spaces appearing in the statement of Theorem 1 and analyze the Muckenhoupt type condition (3) in detail. In particular, we will see that (3) is closely related to the value of $\lim_{r\to1^-}\psi_\omega(r)/(1-r)$, if it exists.

3. Decomposition theorems

This section is instrumental for the rest of the paper. Here we will discuss basic properties of the radial weights considered and L^p_ω-behavior of power series with positive coefficients, and then prove Theorem 4 and other related decomposition theorems. We will also prove Theorem 5 and further discuss the ω-lacunary series.

3.1. **Preliminaries on weights.**

We begin with collecting some necessary definitions and results on weights in $\mathcal{I}\cup\mathcal{R}$. The *Carleson square* $S(I)$ associated with an interval $I\subset\mathbb{T}$ is the set $S(I)=\{re^{it}\in\mathbb{D}: e^{it}\in I,\, 1-|I|\le r<1\}$, where $|E|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set $E\subset\mathbb{T}$. For $1<p<\infty$, the letter p' will denote its conjugate, that is, the number for which $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p'}=1$.

Let $1<p_0<\infty$ and $\eta>-1$. A weight u (not necessarily radial) satisfies the *Bekollé-Bonami* $B_{p_0}(\eta)$*-condition*, denoted by $u\in B_{p_0}(\eta)$, if there exists a constant $C=C(p_0,\eta,\omega)>0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{S(I)}u(z)(1-|z|)^\eta\,dA(z)\right)\left(\int_{S(I)}u(z)^{\frac{-p_0'}{p_0}}(1-|z|)^\eta\,dA(z)\right)^{\frac{p_0}{p_0'}}\le C|I|^{(2+\eta)p_0}$$

for every interval $I\subset\mathbb{T}$. For the proof of the next result, see [18, Lemmas 1.2-1.4].

Lemma A. (i) *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$. Then there exist constants $\alpha = \alpha(\omega) > 0$ and $\beta = \beta(\omega) \geq \alpha$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{1-r}{1-t}\right)^{\alpha} \widehat{\omega}(t) \leq \widehat{\omega}(r) \leq \left(\frac{1-r}{1-t}\right)^{\beta} \widehat{\omega}(t), \quad 0 \leq r \leq t < 1.$$

(ii) *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$. Then for each $\beta > 0$ there exists a constant $C = C(\beta, \omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\widehat{\omega}(r) \leq C \left(\frac{1-r}{1-t}\right)^{\beta} \widehat{\omega}(t), \quad 0 \leq r \leq t < 1.$$

(iii) *For each radial weight ω and $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\widetilde{\omega}(r) = \widehat{\omega}(r)^{-\alpha}\omega(r)$ is also a weight and $\psi_{\widetilde{\omega}}(r) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\psi_\omega(r)$ for all $0 < r < 1$.*

(iv) *If $\omega \in \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$, then*

$$\int_0^1 s^x \omega(s)\, ds \asymp \widehat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right), \quad x \in [1, \infty).$$

(v) *If $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$, then for each $p_0 > 1$ there exists $\eta_0 = \eta(p_0, \omega) > -1$ such that for all $\eta \geq \eta_0$, $\frac{\omega(z)}{(1-|z|)^\eta}$ belongs to $B_{p_0}(\eta)$.*

The next lemma is a restatement of [18, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma B. (i) *If $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$, then there exists $\gamma_0 = \gamma_0(\omega)$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\omega(z)}{|1-\overline{a}z|^{\gamma+1}}\, dA(z) \asymp \frac{\omega\left(S(a)\right)}{(1-|a|)^{\gamma+1}} \asymp \frac{\omega(a)}{(1-|a|)^{\gamma-1}}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D},$$

for all $\gamma > \gamma_0$.

(ii) *If $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\omega(z)}{|1-\overline{a}z|^{\gamma+1}}\, dA(z) \asymp \frac{\omega\left(S(a)\right)}{(1-|a|)^{\gamma+1}}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D},$$

for all $\gamma > 0$.

Lemma 6. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\int_0^1 \omega(r)\, dr = 1$, and let $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the sequence defined by (8) with $\alpha = 1$. Then there exist constants $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2(\omega) > \gamma_1 = \gamma_1(\omega) > 0$ such that*

$$2^{\gamma_1} M_n \leq M_{n+1} \leq 2^{\gamma_2} M_n, \quad r_n \geq \max\left\{\frac{1}{2^{\gamma_1}}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma A(i) and (8), we obtain

$$\frac{M_{n+1}}{M_n} \geq \frac{r_{n+1}(1-r_n)}{1-r_{n+1}} \geq 2^{-\gamma_1}\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r_n)}{\widehat{\omega}(r_{n+1})}\right)^{1/\beta} = 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\gamma_1},$$

where $\beta = \beta(\omega)$ is from Lemma A(i). The left hand inequality of the assertion follows by choosing $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2\beta}$. The right hand inequality can be proved in an analogous manner. □

Several useful reformulations of the Muckenhoupt type condition (7) are gathered to the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let ω be a radial weight, and denote $u_p(r) = (\widehat{\omega}(r)(1-r))^{-\frac{1}{p}}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_p$;
- (ii) $\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in \mathcal{R}$;
- (iii) $u_p \in \mathcal{R}$;
- (iv) $\displaystyle \frac{(1-r)^p}{\widehat{\omega}(r)} \int_0^r \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)}{(1-t)^p}\,dt \asymp 1-r, \quad 0 \le r < 1.$

Proof. (i)⇔(ii). Observe first that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_r^1 \widehat{\omega}(t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}dt\right)^{p-1} \int_0^r \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)}{(1-t)^p}\,dt \\
&= \left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_r^1 \widehat{\omega}(t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}dt}{1-r}\right)^{p-1} \cdot \frac{\frac{(1-r)^p}{\widehat{\omega}(r)} \int_0^r \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)}{(1-t)^p}\,dt}{1-r} \\
&\ge 1^{p-1} \cdot \left(\frac{1-(1-r)^{p-1}}{p-1}\right), \quad 0 \le r < 1,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_p$ if and only if (ii) and (iv) are satisfied. Therefore, to see that (i) and (ii) are equivalent, it suffices to show that (ii) implies (iv). To prove this, note that

$$\widehat{\omega}(r) \asymp \frac{(1-r)^{p-1}}{\left(\int_r^1 \widehat{\omega}(t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}dt\right)^{p-1}}, \quad 0 \le r < 1, \tag{9}$$

whenever $\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in \mathcal{R}$. Therefore, under the assumption (ii), the condition (iv) is equivalent to

$$\left(\int_r^1 \widehat{\omega}(s)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}ds\right)^{p-1} \int_0^r \frac{dt}{(1-t)\left(\int_t^1 \widehat{\omega}(s)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}ds\right)^{p-1}} \asymp 1. \tag{10}$$

But since $\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in \mathcal{R}$, Lemma A(i) shows that there exist $\alpha = \alpha(p,\omega) > 0$ and $\beta = \beta(p,\omega) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1-r}{1-t}\right)^\beta \le \frac{\int_r^1 \widehat{\omega}(s)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}ds}{\int_t^1 \widehat{\omega}(s)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}ds} \le \left(\frac{1-r}{1-t}\right)^\alpha, \quad 0 \le t \le r < 1. \tag{11}$$

Hence the left-hand side of (10) is dominated by

$$(1-r)^{\alpha(p-1)} \int_0^r \frac{dt}{(1-t)^{1+\alpha(p-1)}} \lesssim 1,$$

and (i)⇔(ii) follows. Note that the beginning of this part of the proof also establishes the implication (i)⇒(iv).

(ii)⇔(iii). If $\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in \mathcal{R}$, then (9) and (11) yield

$$\frac{1}{u_p(r)}\int_r^1 u_p(t)\,dt \asymp (1-r)\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\int_t^1 \widehat{\omega}(s)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}ds}{\int_r^1 \widehat{\omega}(s)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}ds}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \frac{dt}{1-t} \asymp 1-r,$$

that is, $u_p \in \mathcal{R}$. The opposite implication (iii)⇒(ii) can be proved in a similar manner.

(iv)⇒(iii). A calculation based on the assumption (iv) shows that $F(r) = (1-r)^{\frac{1}{K}} \int_0^r \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)}{(1-t)^p}\,dt$ is increasing on $[0,1)$ for $K>0$ large enough. By using this and (iv) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} 1-r \le \frac{\int_r^1 u_p(s)\,ds}{u_p(r)} &\asymp (1-r)\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\int_0^r \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)}{(1-t)^p}\,dt}{\int_0^s \frac{\widehat{\omega}(t)}{(1-t)^p}\,dt}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{ds}{1-s} \\ &\le (1-r)^{1-\frac{1}{Kp}} \int_r^1 \frac{ds}{(1-s)^{1-\frac{1}{Kp}}} \asymp (1-r), \end{aligned}$$

and thus $u_p \in \mathcal{R}$.

Since (i)⇒(iv) by the first part of the proof, the lemma is now proved. □

3.2. L^p_ω **behavior of power series with positive coefficients.** We begin with a technical but useful result. Recall that a function h is called essentially decreasing if there exists a positive constant $C = C(h)$ such that $h(x) \le Ch(y)$ whenever $y \le x$. Essentially increasing functions are defined in an analogous manner.

Lemma 8. *Let* $\omega \in \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$ *such that* $\int_0^1 \omega(r)\,dr = 1$. *For each* $\alpha > 0$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, *let* $r_n = r_n(\omega, \alpha) \in [0,1)$ *be defined by* (8). *Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) *For each* $\gamma > 0$, *there exists* $C = C(\alpha,\gamma,\omega) > 0$ *such that*

$$\eta_\gamma(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n\gamma} r^{M_n} \le C\,\widehat{\omega}(r)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}, \quad 0 \le r < 1. \tag{12}$$

(ii) *For each* $0 < \beta < 1$, *there exists* $C = C(\alpha,\beta,\omega) > 0$ *such that*

$$2^{-n\alpha\beta} \int_0^1 \frac{r^{M_n}\omega(r)}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^\beta}\,dr \le C \int_0^1 r^{M_n}\omega(r)\,dr. \tag{13}$$

(iii) *If* $\alpha = 1$ *in* (8), $1 < p < \infty$, $p\eta < 1$ *and* $\omega \in \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{M}_p$, *then there exists* $C = C(\eta, p, \omega) > 0$ *such that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n^{1-\frac{1}{p}} 2^{-n\eta} r^{M_n} \le C \frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)^\eta}{(1-r)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad 0 \le r < 1. \tag{14}$$

Proof. (i). We will begin with proving (12) for $r = r_N$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$. To do this, note first that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} 2^{n\gamma} r_N^{M_n} \le \frac{2^\gamma}{2^\gamma - 1}\,\widehat{\omega}(r_N)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \tag{15}$$

by (8). To deal with the remainder of the sum, we apply Lemma A(i)(ii) and (8) to find $\beta=\beta(\omega)>0$ and $C=C(\beta,\omega)>0$ such that

$$\frac{1-r_n}{1-r_{n+j}}\ge C\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r_n)}{\widehat{\omega}(r_{n+j})}\right)^{1/\beta}=C2^{\frac{j\alpha}{\beta}},\quad n,j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}.$$

This, the inequality $\log\frac{1}{x}\ge 1-x$, $0<x\le 1$, and (8) give

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}2^{n\gamma}r_N^{M_n}&\le 2^{N\gamma}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}2^{j\gamma}e^{-r_{N+j}\frac{1-r_N}{1-r_{N+j}}}\le 2^{N\gamma}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}2^{j\gamma}e^{-r_2C2^{\frac{j\alpha}{\beta}}}\\&=C(\beta,\alpha,\gamma,\omega)\,\widehat{\omega}(r_N)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta=\beta(\omega)$, this together with (15) gives (12) for $r=r_N$, where $N\in\mathbb{N}$. Now, using standard arguments, it implies (12) for any $r\in(0,1)$.

(ii). Clearly,

$$2^{-n\alpha\beta}\int_0^{r_n}r^{M_n}\widetilde{\omega}(r)\,dr\le\frac{2^{-n\alpha\beta}}{\widehat{\omega}(r_n)^{\beta}}\int_0^{r_n}r^{M_n}\omega(r)\,dr\le\int_0^1 r^{M_n}\omega(r)\,dr. \tag{16}$$

Moreover, Lemma A(iii) yields

$$\begin{aligned}2^{-n\alpha\beta}\int_{r_n}^1 r^{M_n}\widetilde{\omega}(r)\,dr&\le 2^{-n\alpha\beta}\widetilde{\omega}(r_n)\psi_{\widetilde{\omega}}(r_n)=\frac{2^{-n\alpha\beta}}{1-\beta}\widetilde{\omega}(r_n)\psi_{\omega}(r_n)\\&=\frac{1}{1-\beta}\int_{r_n}^1\omega(r)\,dr\le C(\beta,\alpha,\omega)\int_{r_n}^1 r^{M_n}\omega(r)\,dr.\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

By combining (16) and (17) we obtain (ii).

(iii). The proof is similar to that of (i). We will begin with proving (14) for $r=r_N$, where $N\in\mathbb{N}$. Since $\omega\in\mathcal{M}_p$, Lemma 7 yields $\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\in\mathcal{R}$, that is,

$$\left(\int_r^1\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(s)\,ds\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\asymp(1-r)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\widehat{\omega}(r)^{-\frac{1}{p}},$$

so taking $r=r_n$ and bearing in mind Lemma 6 we deduce that the sequence $\left\{\frac{2^{\frac{n}{p}}}{M_n^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}\right\}$ is essentially decreasing. Therefore

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N}M_n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}2^{-n\eta}r_N^{M_n}\lesssim M_N^{1-\frac{1}{p}}2^{\frac{-N}{p}}\sum_{n=0}^{N}2^{n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\eta\right)}\asymp\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r_N)^{\eta}}{(1-r_N)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}. \tag{18}$$

Moreover, bearing in mind Lemma 6, the inequality $\log\frac{1}{x}\ge 1-x$, $0<x\le 1$, and the boundedness of the function x^se^{-tx}, $s,t>0$, on $[0,\infty)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}M_n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}2^{-n\eta}r_N^{M_n}&\lesssim 2^{-N\eta}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}M_{j+N+1}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}2^{-j\eta}e^{-C\frac{M_{j+N+1}}{M_N}}\\&\lesssim 2^{-N\eta}M_N^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\asymp\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r_N)^{\eta}}{(1-r_N)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}},\end{aligned}$$

which together with (18) gives (iii) for $r = r_N$. Finally, by using Lemma 6, (8) and the fact that $(1-r)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\widehat{\omega}(r)^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ is essentially decreasing, we obtain (iii) for any $r \in (0,1)$. □

We now present a result on power series with positive coefficients. This result will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 4.

Proposition 9. *Let $0 < p, \alpha < \infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$ such that $\int_0^1 \omega(r)\,dr = 1$. Let $f(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k r^k$, where $a_k \geq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and denote $t_n = \sum_{k \in I_{\omega,\alpha}(n)} a_k$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(p,\alpha,\omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{C}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\alpha} t_n^p \leq \int_0^1 f(r)^p \omega(r)\,dr \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\alpha} t_n^p. \tag{19}$$

Proof. We will use ideas from the proof of [13, Theorem 6]. The definition (8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 f(r)^p \omega(r)\,dr &\geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{r_{n+1}}^{r_{n+2}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k r^{M_{k+1}} \right)^p \omega(r)\,dr \\
&\geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} t_k r_{n+1}^{M_{k+1}} \right)^p \int_{r_{n+1}}^{r_{n+2}} \omega(r)\,dr \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\alpha}}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^p r_{n+1}^{pM_{n+1}} 2^{(-n-1)\alpha} \geq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^p 2^{-n\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(p,\alpha,\omega) > 0$ is a constant. This gives the first inequality in (19).

To prove the second inequality in (19), let first $p > 1$ and take $0 < \gamma < \frac{\alpha}{p-1}$. Then Hölder's inequality gives

$$f(r)^p \leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n r^{M_n} \right)^p \leq \eta_\gamma(r)^{p-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\gamma(p-1)} t_n^p r^{M_n}. \tag{20}$$

Therefore, by (12) and (13) in Lemma 8 and Lemma A(iv) there exist constants $C_1 = C_1(\alpha,\gamma,p,\omega) > 0$, $C_2 = C_2(\alpha,\gamma,p,\omega) > 0$ and $C_3 = C_3(\alpha,\gamma,p,\omega) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 f(r)^p \omega(r)\,dr &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\gamma(p-1)} t_n^p \int_0^1 r^{M_n} \eta_\gamma(r)^{p-1} \omega(r)\,dr \\
&\leq C_1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\gamma(p-1)} t_n^p \int_0^1 \frac{r^{M_n}\omega(r)}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{\frac{\gamma(p-1)}{\alpha}}}\,dr \\
&\leq C_2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^p \int_0^1 r^{M_n} \omega(r)\,dr \\
&\leq C_3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^p\, \widehat{\omega}(r_n)\,dr = C_3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^p 2^{-n\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma = \gamma(\alpha, p)$, this gives the assertion for $1 < p < \infty$. The proof of the case $0 < p \leq 1$ is similar but easier. □

3.3. **Decomposition theorems.** In this section we will prove Theorem 4 and related results as well as discuss their consequences. For $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we set

$$S_{n_1,n_2} g(z) = \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2-1} b_k z^k, \quad n_1 < n_2.$$

We will use repeatedly the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 10. *Let $0 < p \leq \infty$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_1 < n_2$. If $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, then*

$$\|S_{n_1,n_2} g\|_{H^p} \asymp M_p\left(1 - \frac{1}{n_2}, S_{n_1,n_2} g\right).$$

Lemma 10 can be proved, for example, by using the inequality

$$r^{n_2} \|S_{n_1,n_2} g\|_{H^p} \leq M_p(r, S_{n_1,n_2} g) \leq r^{n_1} \|S_{n_1,n_2} g\|_{H^p}, \quad 0 < r < 1, \tag{21}$$

which follows by [14, Lemma 3.1].

Proof of Theorem 4. (i). By the M. Riesz projection theorem and (21),

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{H(p,q,\omega)} &\gtrsim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha} f\|_{H^p}^q \int_{r_{n+1}}^{r_{n+2}} r^{qM_{n+1}} \omega(r)\, dr \\ &\asymp \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha} f\|_{H^p}^q \int_{r_{n+1}}^{r_{n+2}} \omega(r)\, dr \asymp \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\alpha} \|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha} f\|_{H^p}^q. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Minkowski's inequality and (21) give

$$M_p(r, f) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_p(r, \Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha} f) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{M_n} \|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha} f\|_{H^p}, \tag{22}$$

and hence Proposition 9 yields

$$\|f\|_{H(p,q,\omega)} \leq \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{M_n} \|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha} f\|_{H^p} \right)^q \omega(r)\, dr \asymp \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n\alpha} \|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha} f\|_{H^p}^q.$$

(ii). Using again the M. Riesz projection theorem and (21) we deduce

$$\sup_{0<r<1} M_p(r, f)\, \widehat{\omega}(r)^{\beta} \gtrsim r_{n+1}^{M_{n+1}} \|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha} f\|_{H^p} 2^{-n\alpha\beta}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

and hence

$$\|f\|_{H(p,\infty,\widehat{\omega}^{\beta})} \gtrsim \sup_n 2^{-n\alpha\beta} \|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha} f\|_{H^p}.$$

Conversely, assume that $M = \sup_n 2^{-n\alpha\beta} \|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha} f\|_{H^p} < \infty$. Then (22) and Lemma 8(i) yield

$$M_p(r, f) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^{M_n} \|\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha} f\|_{H^p} \leq M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n\alpha\beta} r^{M_n} \lesssim M \widehat{\omega}(r)^{-\beta}.$$

This finishes the proof. □

Now we will present a couple of results which will be strongly used in the proof of Theorem 1. We saw in Theorem 4 that the $H(p,q,\omega)$-norm of $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ can be written in terms of H^p-norms of the polynomials $\Delta_n^{\omega,\alpha} f$ if $\omega \in \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$. The next result shows that the same polynomials work also in the case of $H(p,q,\omega_\gamma)$ whenever $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$. In both, Corollary 11 and Corollary 12, $M_n = E\left(\frac{1}{1-r_n}\right)$, where r_n is defined by (8) with $\alpha = 1$.

Corollary 11. *Let $1 < q < \infty$, $0 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \gamma < \infty$, $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\int_0^1 \omega(r)\,dr = 1$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. Then*

$$\|g\|^p_{H(q,p,\omega_\gamma)} = \int_0^1 M_q^p(r,g)(1-r)^\gamma \omega(r)\,dr \asymp \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n} \frac{\|\Delta_n^\omega g\|^p_{H^q}}{M_n^\gamma}.$$

Proof. The inequality

$$\int_0^1 M_q^p(r,g)(1-r)^\gamma \omega(r)\,dr \gtrsim \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{2^{-n}}{M_n^\gamma} \|\Delta_n g\|^p_{H^q}$$

follows by the M. Riesz projection theorem, Lemma 10 and Lemma 6.

On the other hand, by Lemma A(iii) the weight $\widetilde{\omega}_\beta(r) = \frac{\omega(r)}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^\beta}$ is regular for each $\beta \in (0,1)$ and then $(1-r)^\gamma \widetilde{\omega}_\beta(r)$ is also regular. Therefore Lemma A(iv) yields

$$\int_0^1 r^n (1-r)^\gamma \widetilde{\omega}_\beta(r)\,dr \asymp \int_{1-\frac{1}{n}}^1 r^n (1-r)^\gamma \widetilde{\omega}_\beta(r)\,dr \leq \frac{1}{n^\gamma} \int_{1-\frac{1}{n}}^1 r^n \widetilde{\omega}_\beta(r)\,dr. \tag{23}$$

By (22), (20), Lemma 8(i), (23) with $\beta = \eta(p-1) \in (0,1)$, (17) and Lemma 6,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 M_q^p(r,g)(1-r)^\gamma \omega(r)\,dr &\leq \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty r^{M_n} \|\Delta_n^\omega g\|_{H^q} \right)^p (1-r)^\gamma \omega(r)\,dr \\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n\eta(p-1)} \|\Delta_n^\omega g\|^p_{H^q} \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(r)}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{\eta(p-1)}} (1-r)^\gamma r^{M_n}\,dr \\
&\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n\eta(p-1)} \|\Delta_n^\omega g\|^p_{H^q} \frac{1}{M_n^\gamma} \int_{1-\frac{1}{M_n}}^1 \frac{\omega(r) r^{M_n}}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{\eta(p-1)}}\,dr \\
&\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^\infty \|\Delta_n^\omega g\|^p_{H^q} \frac{1}{M_n^\gamma} \int_{1-\frac{1}{M_n}}^1 r^{M_n} \omega(r)\,dr \asymp \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n} \|\Delta_n^\omega g\|^p_{H^q} \frac{1}{M_n^\gamma},
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. □

The second result generalizes a known characterization of the mean Lipschitz space $\Lambda(p,\alpha)$, where $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, see [14, Theorem 2.1-3.1]. We say that $g \in \lambda(p,\alpha,\widehat{\omega}^\beta)$, if

$$\lim_{r \to 1^-} \frac{M_p(r,g')(1-r)^{1-\alpha}}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^\beta} = 0.$$

Corollary 12. *Let $1<q,p<\infty$, $\eta\in\left[0,\frac{1}{p}\right)$, $\omega\in\mathcal{R}\cap\mathcal{M}_p$ such that $\int_0^1\omega(r)\,dr=1$ and $g\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$.*

- (i) *$g\in\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^\eta\right)$ if and only if $\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|_{H^q}\lesssim M_n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}2^{-n\eta}$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Moreover,*

$$\|g\|_{\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^\eta\right)}\asymp|g(0)|+\sup_n\frac{\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|_{H^q}2^{n\eta}}{M_n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

- (ii) *$g\in\lambda(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^\eta)$ if and only if*

$$\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|_{H^q}=\mathrm{o}\left(M_n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}2^{-n\eta}\right),\quad n\to\infty.$$

Corollary 12 can be obtained by following the lines of the proof of Theorem 4 (ii) together with Lemma 8 (iii). We omit the details.

Finally, we will give simple proofs of several known results as a by-product of Theorem 4.

Corollary C. *Let $1<p<\infty$, $0<\alpha<\infty$ and $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_kz^k\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$.*

- (i) *If $0<\gamma<\infty$, then*

$$\int_0^1 M_p^q(r,f)(1-r)^{q\gamma-1}\,dr\asymp|a_0|^q+\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-nq\gamma}\left\|\sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1}a_kz^k\right\|_{H^p}^q.$$

- (ii) *If $1/q<\beta<\infty$, then*

$$\int_0^1 M_p^q(r,f)\left(\log\frac{2}{1-r}\right)^{-q\beta}(1-r)^{-1}\,dr$$
$$\asymp\left\|\sum_{k=0}^3 a_kz^k\right\|_{H^p}^q+\sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n(q\beta-1)}\left\|\sum_{k=2^{2^n}}^{2^{2^{n+1}}-1}a_kz^k\right\|_{H^p}^q.$$

Proof. (i) Consider the regular and normalized weight $\omega(r)=q\gamma(1-r)^{q\gamma-1}$. Then, by choosing $\alpha=q\gamma$ in (8) and Theorem 4, the result follows.

(ii) Take the normalized rapidly increasing weight

$$\omega(r)=\frac{q\beta-1}{\log 2}\frac{1}{(1-r)\left(\log_2\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{q\beta}},\quad q\beta>1.$$

Then, by choosing $\alpha=q\beta-1$ in (8) and Theorem 4, the result follows. □

Corollary C(i) is obtained in [14] as a consequence of a more general result. Corollary C(ii) is nothing else but [10, Theorem 6.1], the original proof of which is more involved and uses the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem.

3.4. **ω-Lacunary series.** The main purpose of this section is to stress how different is a weighted Bergman space A^p_ω, induced by a rapidly increasing weight ω, from another A^p_ω, induced by a regular one. This will be done by using strongly the results on power series with positive coefficients obtained in Section 3.2. The reader is invited to see [18] for more information on this topic.

Recall that, for a given radial weight ω, $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ is said to be an ω-lacunary series in $\mathbb{D}$ if its Maclaurin series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^{n_k}$ satisfies

$$\frac{\widehat{\omega}(1-\frac{1}{n_k})}{\widehat{\omega}(1-\frac{1}{n_{k+1}})} = \frac{\int_{1-\frac{1}{n_k}}^1 \omega(r)\,dr}{\int_{1-\frac{1}{n_{k+1}}}^1 \omega(r)\,dr} \geq \lambda > 1, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}. \tag{24}$$

We begin with proving an extension of Theorem 5 that describes the ω-lacunary series in the mixed norm space $H(p,q,\omega)$ in terms of the coefficients in their Maclaurin series.

Theorem 13. *Let $0 < q, \alpha < \infty$, $0 < p \leq \infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$ such that $\int_0^1 \omega(r)\,dr = 1$, and let f be an ω-lacunary series in $\mathbb{D}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $f \in H(p,q,\omega)$;

(ii) $\displaystyle\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n\alpha}\left(\sum_{n_k\in I_{\omega,\alpha}(n)} |a_k|^2\right)^{q/2} < \infty$;

(iii) $\displaystyle\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n\alpha}\left(\sum_{n_k\in I_{\omega,\alpha}(n)} |a_k|^q\right) < \infty$;

(iv) $\displaystyle\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n\alpha}\left(\sum_{n_k\in I_{\omega,\alpha}(n)} |a_k|\right)^{q} < \infty$;

(v) $\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_k|^q \int_0^1 r^{2n_k+1}\omega(r)\,dr < \infty$.

Moreover, each of the sums in (ii)-(v) *is comparable to* $\|f\|^q_{H(p,q,\omega)}$.

Proof. Let f be an ω-lacunary series in $\mathbb{D}$. First, we observe that the chain of inequalities

$$\frac{1}{1-r_n} \leq n_k < n_{k+s} < \frac{1}{1-r_{n+1}}$$

is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2^{n\alpha}} = \widehat{\omega}\left(r_n\right) \geq \widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_k}\right) > \widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_{k+s}}\right) > \widehat{\omega}\left(r_{n+1}\right) = \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)\alpha}} \tag{25}$$

by (8). This together with (24) shows that there are at most $\log_\lambda 2^\alpha+2$ integers n_k in each set $I_{\omega,\alpha}(n)$. Therefore Hölder's inequality and standard estimates give

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n\alpha}\left(\sum_{k\in I_{\omega,\alpha}(n)}|a_k|^2\right)^{q/2} &\asymp \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n\alpha}\left(\sum_{k\in I_{\omega,\alpha}(n)}|a_k|^q\right)\\ &\asymp \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n\alpha}\left(\sum_{n_k\in I_{\omega,\alpha}(n)}|a_k|\right)^q,\end{aligned}$$

and thus (ii)⇔(iii)⇔(iv). Moreover, by Lemma A(i) (ii) (iv),

$$\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_k}\right)\asymp\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{2n_k+1}\right)\asymp\int_0^1 r^{2n_k+1}\omega(r)\,dr,$$

and it follows by (25) that (iii)⇔(v).

By the proof of [18, Lemma 1.2], there exist $\beta=\beta(\omega)>0$ and $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_k}\right)}{\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_{k+1}}\right)}\le\left(\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k}\right)^\beta$$

for all $k\ge N$. Therefore f is a standard lacunary series by (24). In fact, Lemma A(i) shows that an ω-lacunary series for $\omega\in\mathcal{R}$ is just a standard lacunary series. Consequently, if $0<p<\infty$, Zygmund's theorem [21, p. 215] gives

$$\|f\|^q_{H(p,q,\omega)}\asymp\int_0^1\left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty|a_k|^2r^{2n_k}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}}\omega(r)r\,dr.$$

Therefore Proposition 9 implies (i)⇔(ii) and

$$\|f\|^q_{H(p,q,\omega)}\asymp\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n\alpha}\left(\sum_{n_k\in I_{\omega,\alpha}(n)}|a_k|^2\right)^{q/2}.$$

This completes the proof for $0<p<\infty$.

Finally, if $f\in H(\infty,q,\omega)$, then $f\in H(p,q,\omega)$ for any $0<p<\infty$, so by the previous argument (i)⇒(ii). Reciprocally, assume that (iv) holds. Then, by using Proposition 9, we deduce

$$\|f\|^q_{H(\infty,q,\omega)}\le\int_0^1\left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty|a_k|r^{n_k}\right)^q\omega(r)r\,dr\asymp\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n\alpha}\left(\sum_{n_k\in I_{\omega,\alpha}(n)}|a_k|\right)^q<\infty.$$

This finishes the proof. □

Theorem 13 gives an easy way to construct functions in A^p_ω. For example, if $0<p<q<\infty$ and $\omega\in\mathcal{I}\cup\mathcal{R}$, then Theorem 13, with $\alpha=1$, shows that

$$f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{n/q}z^{M_n},\quad M_n=E\left(\frac{1}{1-r_n}\right),$$

where r_n is given by (8) with $\alpha = 1$, belongs to $A^p_\omega \setminus A^q_\omega$.

It is worth noticing that the equivalence (i)$\Leftrightarrow$(ii) in Theorem 13 is valid for standard lacunary series and $\omega \in \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$. However, (i)$\Leftrightarrow$(iii) is no longer true for standard lacunary series if $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$ and $q \neq 2$. Namely, let us consider the rapidly increasing weight

$$v_\beta(r) = (1-r)^{-1}\left(\log \frac{e}{1-r}\right)^{-\beta}, \quad \beta > 1.$$

If (i) and (iii) were equivalent, then the choice $\alpha = \beta - 1$ would imply that a standard lacunary series $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^{2^n}$ belongs to $A^q_{v_\beta}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n(\beta-1)} \sum_{k=2^{2^n}}^{2^{2^{n+1}}-1} |a_k|^q \asymp \sum_{k=4}^\infty |a_k|^q \left(\log k\right)^{-\beta+1} < \infty.$$

But this is impossible. Namely, if $\beta > 2$ and $a_k = k^{-1/p}$, then we would have $f \in A^q_{v_\beta}$ for $q \geq p$, but $f \notin A^q_{v_\beta}$ for $q < p$. A similar reasoning also works for $1 < \beta < 2$. An analogous argument can be used to show that the condition (v) does not characterize standard lacunary series in A^p_ω when $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$ and $q \neq 2$.

If $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$, then (24) says, roughly speaking, that the smaller the space A^p_ω is, the larger the gaps of an ω-lacunary series are. Namely, the condition (iii) in Theorem 13 is equivalent to (i) and (ii) when the series $\sum a_k z^{n_k}$ has very large gaps depending on ω.

The next result offers a description of ω-lacunary series in the mixed norm space $H(p, \infty, \widehat{\omega}^\beta)$.

Theorem 14. *Let $0 < \beta < \infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$ such that $\int_0^1 \omega(r)\,dr = 1$. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_k z^{n_k}$ be an ω-lacunary series in $\mathbb{D}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *$f \in H(\infty, \infty, \widehat{\omega}^\beta)$;*
- (ii) *$f \in H(p, \infty, \widehat{\omega}^\beta)$ for some $0 < p \leq \infty$;*
- (iii) *The coefficients $\{a_k\}$ of the Maclaurin series of f satisfy*

$$|a_k| \lesssim \left(\int_0^1 r^{n_k}\omega(s)\,ds\right)^{-\beta}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \tag{26}$$

Proof. The implication (i)$\Rightarrow$(ii) is trivial. Moreover, as each ω-lacunary series is a standard lacunary series, $f \in H(p, \infty, \widehat{\omega}^\beta)$ if and only if $f \in H(2, \infty, \widehat{\omega}^\beta)$. Therefore Cauchy integral formula and Lemma A(iv) easily give (ii)$\Rightarrow$(iii). To complete the proof, we will establish (iii)$\Rightarrow$(i). If we choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{\beta}$ in (8), then Lemma 8(i) gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^n |z|^{M_n} \lesssim \widehat{\omega}(|z|)^{-\beta}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

so it suffices to prove $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{r^{n_k}}{\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_k}\right)^\beta} \lesssim \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^n r^{M_n}$. Bearing in mind Lemma A(i)-(ii) and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 13, we deduce

$$\begin{split}\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{r^{n_k}}{\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_k}\right)^\beta} &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{n_k\in I_{\omega,\frac{1}{\beta}}(n)} \frac{r^{n_k}}{\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_k}\right)^\beta}\\ &\le \sum_{n=1}^\infty r^{M_n} \sum_{n_k\in I_{\omega,\frac{1}{\beta}}(n)} \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{M_{n+1}-1}\right)^\beta}\\ &\le (\log_\lambda 2^{1/\beta}+2)\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{r^{M_n}}{\widehat{\omega}\left(r_{n+1}\right)^\beta},\end{split}$$

which together with (8) finishes the proof. □

Theorem 14 generalizes and improves know results in the existing literature. In particular, by taking the regular weight $\phi_\gamma(r)=\log^\gamma\frac{e}{1-r}$ and choosing ω such that $\phi_\gamma([0,1])\cdot\omega(r)=\phi_\gamma(r)$, we deduce that the lacunary series $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_k z^{n_k}$, where $\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k}\ge\lambda>1$, satisfies the Bloch-type condition

$$M_\infty(r,f')=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)\log^\gamma\frac{e}{1-r}}\right),\quad 0<\gamma<\infty,$$

if and only if

$$|a_k|=\mathrm{O}\left((\log n_k)^{-\gamma}\right),\quad k\in\mathbb{N}.$$

4. The role of the sublinear Hilbert operator

The generalized Hilbert operator

$$\mathcal{H}_g(f)(z)=\int_0^1 f(t)g'(tz)\,dt$$

is well defined whenever

$$\int_0^1 |f(t)|\,dt<\infty. \tag{27}$$

Further, if $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ satisfies (27), then $\mathcal{H}_g(f)$ can be written in terms of the coefficients of the Maclaurin series of f and g. Namely, if $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n z^n\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, then

$$\begin{split}\mathcal{H}_g(f)(z)&=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\left((k+1)b_{k+1}\int_0^1 t^k f(t)\,dt\right)z^k\\ &=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\left((k+1)b_{k+1}\sum_{n=0}^\infty\frac{a_n}{n+k+1}\right)z^k.\end{split}$$

We begin with noting that condition (2) implies (27) for any $f \in A^p_\omega$. In fact, by using Hölder's inequality and (6), we deduce

$$\int_0^1 |f(t)|\,dt \le \left(\int_0^1 |f(t)|^p \widehat{\omega}(t)\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^1 \widehat{\omega}(t)^{-\frac{p'}{p}}\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \lesssim \|f\|^p_{A^p_\omega}.$$

The standard radial weight $(1-|z|^2)^\alpha$ satisfies (2) if and only if $-1 < \alpha < p-2$. Moreover, the function $h(z) = (1-z)^{-1}\left(\log \frac{e}{1-z}\right)^{-1}$ belongs to A^p_{p-2} for all $1 < p < \infty$, but $\int_0^1 |h(t)|\,dt = \infty$. Therefore (2) is a natural sharp condition for both, the generalized Hilbert operator $\mathcal{H}_g$ and the sublinear Hilbert operator

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(f)(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{|f(t)|}{1-tz}\,dt$$

to be well defined. As mentioned in (5), the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ behaves like a maximal operator with respect to $\mathcal{H}_g$ under appropriate hypotheses on ω and g. Consequently, in view of (6), it is natural to study the boundedness of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ on both $L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}$ and A^p_ω. This is the main aim of this section.

Proof of Theorem 2. (i)⇒(iii). This part of the proof uses ideas from [15]. For $r \in [0,1)$, set $\phi_r(t) = \widehat{\omega}(t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\chi_{[r,1)}(t)$, so that $\phi_r \in L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}$ for all $r \in [0,1)$ by (2). Here, as usual, χ_E stands for the characteristic function of the set E. Then, bearing in mind (6), we deduce

$$\|\mathcal{H}(\phi_r)\|_{L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{H}(\phi_r)\|_{A^p_\omega} \le \|\mathcal{H}\|_{\left(L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}, A^p_\omega\right)} \|\phi_r\|_{L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}},$$

and hence

$$\int_0^1 \widehat{\omega}(s) \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t)}{1-ts}\,dt\right)^p ds \lesssim \int_r^1 \widehat{\omega}(t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\,dt. \tag{28}$$

Since

$$\int_0^r \widehat{\omega}(s) \left(\int_r^1 \frac{\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(t)}{1-ts}\,dt\right)^p ds \ge \frac{1}{2^p}\left(\int_0^r \frac{\widehat{\omega}(s)}{(1-s)^p}\,ds\right)\left(\int_r^1 \widehat{\omega}(t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\,dt\right)^p,$$

this together with (28) implies $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_p$ and

$$\mathcal{M}_p(\omega) \lesssim \|\mathcal{H}\|_{\left(L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}, A^p_\omega\right)}.$$

This argument also proves (ii)⇒(iii).

(iii)⇒(i). Since $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ by the assumption, ω is comparable to the differentiable weight $\frac{\int_r^1 \omega(s)\,ds}{(1-s)}$, so, by using [17, Theorem 1.1], we deduce

$$\|f\|^p_{A^p_\omega} \asymp |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p (1-|z|)^p\,\omega(z)\,dA(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Now, for any $\phi \in L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}$,

$$\left(\mathcal{H}(\phi)\right)'(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{t\phi(t)}{(1-tz)^2}\,dt,$$

and so Minkowski's inequality in continuous form yields

$$\begin{aligned}M_p(r,(\mathcal{H}(\phi))') &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\left|\int_0^1 \frac{\phi(t)t}{(1-tre^{i\theta})^2}\,dt\right|^p d\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &\le \int_0^1 \phi(t)\left(\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\theta}{|1-tre^{i\theta}|^{2p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}dt \asymp \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(t)}{(1-tr)^{2-\frac{1}{p}}}\,dt,\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\|\mathcal{H}(\phi)\|_{A^p_\omega}^p \lesssim I_1(r)+I_2(r)+|\mathcal{H}(\phi)(0)|^p \tag{29}$$

where

$$I_1(r)=\int_0^1\left(\int_0^r \frac{\phi(t)}{(1-t)^{2-\frac{1}{p}}}\,dt\right)^p(1-r)^p\omega(r)\,dr$$

and

$$I_2(r)=\int_0^1\left(\int_r^1 \frac{\phi(t)}{(1-tr)^{2-\frac{1}{p}}}\,dt\right)^p(1-r)^p\omega(r)\,dr.$$

We observe that

$$I_1(r)\lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}}^p \tag{30}$$

can be written as

$$\int_0^1\left(\int_0^r \Phi(t)\,dt\right)U^p(r)\,dr \le \int_0^1 \Phi^p(r)V^p(r)\,dr,$$

where

$$U^p(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}(1-x)^{p-1}\widehat{\omega}(x), & 0\le x<1\\ 0, & x\ge 1\end{array}\right.,$$

$$V^p(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}(1-x)^{2p-1}\widehat{\omega}(x), & 0\le x<1\\ 0, & x\ge 1\end{array}\right.,$$

and $\Phi(t)=\frac{\phi(t)}{(1-t)^{2-\frac{1}{p}}}$. Since $\widehat{\omega}$ is decreasing,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\int_r^1 U^p(s)\,ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_0^r V^{-p'}(s)\,ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\\ &=\left(\int_r^1(1-s)^{p-1}\widehat{\omega}(s)\,ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_0^r \frac{1}{(1-s)^{\left(2-\frac{1}{p}\right)p'}\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{p'}{p}}(s)}\,ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\\ &\le \widehat{\omega}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-r)\widehat{\omega}(r)^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_0^r\frac{1}{(1-s)^{\left(2-\frac{1}{p}\right)p'}}\,ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\le C,\end{aligned}$$

for all $r \in [0,1)$. Now, [15, Theorem 1] shows that (30) holds. Moreover, since $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_p$, by applying [15, Theorem 2] with

$$U^p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\widehat{\omega}(x)}{(1-x)^p}, & 0 \le x < 1 \\ 0, & x \ge 1 \end{cases},$$

and

$$V^p(x) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\omega}(x), & 0 \le x < 1 \\ 0, & x \ge 1 \end{cases},$$

we deduce

$$I_2(r) \lesssim \int_0^1 \left(\int_r^1 \phi(t)\,dt \right)^p \frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^p}\,dr \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p^p(\omega) \|\phi\|^p_{L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}},$$

which together with (29) and (30) gives (iii)⇒(i) and

$$\|\mathcal{H}\|_{\left(L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}, A^p_\omega\right)} \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p(\omega).$$

It is clear that the same argument proves (iii)⇒(ii). □

It is worth noticing that the implication (iii)⇒(i) (as well as (iii)⇒(ii)) can also be proved by using the theory of Bekollé-Bonami weights. We will only give an outline of this argument. It is strongly based on the following essentially known result, which follows from Lemma A(v) and [12, Theorem 2.1].

Lemma 15. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$. Then there exists $\eta_0 = \eta_0(p,\omega) > -1$ such that for all $\eta \ge \eta_0$, the dual of A^p_ω can be identified with $A^{p'}_{\omega^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(1-|z|)^{p'\eta}}$ under the pairing*

$$\langle f, g\rangle_\eta = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z)\overline{g(z)}(1-|z|)^\eta\,dA(z). \tag{31}$$

Reciprocally, the dual of $A^{p'}_{\omega^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(1-|z|)^{p'\eta}}$ can be identified with A^p_ω under the same pairing.

An alternative proof of (iii)⇒(i). Let $\eta_0 = \eta_0(p,\omega) > -1$ be that of Lemma 15 and fix $\eta \ge \eta_0$. For simplicity, we write $v_{p'}(z) = \omega(z)^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(1-|z|)^{p'\eta}$. By Lemma 15, the dual of $A^{p'}_{v_{p'}}$ can be identified with A^p_ω under the pairing defined by (31). Therefore $\mathcal{H} : L^p_{\widehat{\omega}} \to A^p_\omega$ is bounded if and only if

$$|\langle \mathcal{H}(\phi), h\rangle_\eta| \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}} \|h\|_{v_{p'}}, \quad \phi \in L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}, \quad h \in A^{p'}_{v_{p'}}.$$

To prove this, let $\phi \in L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}$ and $h \in A^{p'}_{v_{p'}}$. By Fubini's theorem, the Cauchy integral formula and Hölder's inequality, we deduce

$$|\langle \mathcal{H}(\phi), h\rangle_\eta| = 2\left| \int_0^1 \phi(t) \left(\int_0^1 \overline{h(r^2t)} r(1-r)^\eta\,dr \right) dt \right| \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}} I(h), \tag{32}$$

where

$$I(h)=\left(\int_0^1\left(\int_0^1|h(r^2t)|r(1-r)^\eta\,dr\right)^{p'}\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(t)\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

A change of variable, the hypotheses $\omega\in\mathcal{M}_p$, [15, Theorem 1] and (4) give

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\frac12}^1\left(\int_0^1|h(r^2t)|r(1-r)^\eta\,dr\right)^{p'}\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(t)\,dt\\ &\lesssim\int_0^1\left(\int_0^t M_\infty(s,h)(1-s)^\eta\,ds\right)^{p'}\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(t)\,dt\\ &\lesssim\mathcal{M}_p^{p'}(\omega)\int_0^1 M_\infty^{p'}(t,h)(1-t)^{p'\eta+1}\omega^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(t)\,dt.\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

Now, it follows from [18, p. 9 (i)] that

$$\int_t^1 v_{p'}(s)\,ds\ge\int_t^{\frac{1+t}{2}}(1-s)^{p'\eta}\omega^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(s)\,ds\asymp(1-t)^{p'\eta+1}\omega^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(t).$$

Consequently, this together with (33) and (6) yield

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\frac12}^1\left(\int_0^1|h(r^2t)|r(1-r)^\eta\,dr\right)^{p'}\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{p'}{p}}(t)\,dt\\ &\lesssim\mathcal{M}_p^{p'}(\omega)\int_0^1 M_\infty^{p'}(t,h)\left(\int_t^1 v_{p'}(s)\,ds\right)dt\lesssim\mathcal{M}_p^{p'}(\omega)\|h\|_{v_{p'}}^{p'}.\end{aligned}$$

By combining this and (32), the proof is finished. □

5. Background on smooth Hadamard products

If $W(z)=\sum_{k\in J}b_kz^k$ is a polynomial and $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_kz^k\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, then the Hadamard product

$$(W*f)(z)=\sum_{k\in J}b_ka_kz^k$$

is well defined. Further, if $f\in H^1$, then

$$(W*f)(e^{it})=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}W(e^{i(t-\theta)})f(e^{i\theta})\,d\theta$$

is the usual convolution.

If $\Phi:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$ is a C^∞-function such that its support $\operatorname{supp}(\Phi)$ is a compact subset of $(0,\infty)$, we set

$$A_\Phi=\max_{s\in\mathbb{R}}|\Phi(s)|+\max_{s\in\mathbb{R}}|\Phi''(s)|,$$

and we consider the polynomials

$$W_N^\Phi(z)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\Phi\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)z^k,\quad N\in\mathbb{N}.$$

With this notation we can state the next result on smooth partial sums.

Theorem D. *Let* $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ *be a* C^∞*-function such that* $\operatorname{supp}(\Phi) \subset (0,\infty)$ *is compact. Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) *There exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\left|W_N^\Phi(e^{i\theta})\right| \le C \min\left\{ N \max_{s\in\mathbb{R}} |\Phi(s)|, N^{1-m}|\theta|^{-m} \max_{s\in\mathbb{R}} |\Phi^{(m)}(s)| \right\},$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $0 < |\theta| < \pi$.

(ii) *There exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\left|(W_N^\Phi * f)(e^{i\theta})\right| \le C A_\Phi M(|f|)(e^{i\theta})$$

for all $f \in H^1$. *Here* M *denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal-operator*

$$M(|f|)(e^{i\theta}) = \sup_{0<h<\pi} \frac{1}{2h}\int_{\theta-h}^{\theta+h} |f(e^{it})|\,dt.$$

(iii) *For each* $p \in (1,\infty)$ *there exists a constant* $C = C(p) > 0$ *such that*

$$\|W_N^\Phi * f\|_{H^p} \le C A_\Phi \|f\|_{H^p}$$

for all $f \in H^p$.

(iv) *For each* $p \in (1,\infty)$ *and a radial weight* ω, *there exists a constant* $C = C(p,\omega) > 0$ *such that*

$$\|W_N^\Phi * f\|_{A^p_\omega} \le C A_\Phi \|f\|_{A^p_\omega}$$

for all $f \in A^p_\omega$.

Theorem D follows from the results and proofs in [16, p. 111-113]. We will also need the following lemma whose proof follows from (21) and Lemma A.

Lemma 16. *Let* $0 < p < \infty$, $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $n_1 \le n_2 \le Cn_1$, $\omega \in \mathcal{I}\cup\mathcal{R}$ *and* $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_{n_1,n_2} g\|_{A^p_\omega} &\asymp \left(\int_{1-\frac{1}{n_1}}^1 \omega(s)\,ds\right)^{1/p} \|S_{n_1,n_2} g\|_{H^p}\\ &\asymp \left(\int_{1-\frac{1}{n_2}}^1 \omega(s)\,ds\right)^{1/p} \|S_{n_1,n_2} g\|_{H^p}.\end{aligned}$$

The next auxiliary result allows us to prove the maximality of the sublinear Hilbert operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ in the study of the boundedness of $\mathcal{H}_g$ on weighted Bergman spaces. The proof of Lemma 17 is analogous to that of [9, Lemma 7] and is therefore omitted.

Lemma 17. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, ω *be a radial weight satisfying* (2) *and* $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $n_1 < n_2$. *Let* $f \in A^p_\omega$, $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k z^k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ *and* $h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k \left(\int_0^1 t^k f(t)\,dt\right) z^k$. *Then there exists a constant* $C = C(p) > 0$ *such that*

$$\|S_{n_1,n_2} h\|_{H^p} \le C \left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{n_1}{4}} |f(t)|\,dt\right) \|S_{n_1,n_2} g\|_{H^p}.$$

The next known result can be proved by summing by parts and using the M. Riesz projection theorem [9, 11].

Lemma E. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$ *and* $\lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ *be a monotone sequence of positive numbers. Let* $(\lambda g)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k b_k z^k$, *where* $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$.

(i) *If* $\{\lambda_k\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is nondecreasing, then there exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$C^{-1}\lambda_{n_1}\|S_{n_1,n_2}g\|_{H^p} \le \|S_{n_1,n_2}\lambda g\|_{H^p} \le C\lambda_{n_2}\|S_{n_1,n_2}g\|_{H^p}.$$

(ii) *If* $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is nonincreasing, then there exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$C^{-1}\lambda_{n_2}\|S_{n_1,n_2}g\|_{H^p} \le \|S_{n_1,n_2}\lambda g\|_{H^p} \le C\lambda_{n_1}\|S_{n_1,n_2}g\|_{H^p}.$$

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

We may assume without loss of generality that $\int_0^1 \omega(r)\,dr = 1$. Throughout the proof $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the sequence defined by (8) with $\alpha = 1$.

6.1. **Sufficiency.** Theorem 4, with $\alpha = 1$, shows that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{A^q_\omega}^q \asymp \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n}\,\|\Delta_n^\omega \mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{H^q}^q \tag{34}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. Now Lemma 17, Hölder's inequality and (6) yield

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_0^\omega \mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{H^q} &\lesssim |g'(0)|\int_0^1 |f(t)|\,dt + \|S_{M_1,1}\mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{H^q}\\ &\lesssim |g'(0)|\int_0^1 |f(t)|\,dt + \left\|S_{M_1,1}g'\right\|_{H^q}\int_0^1 t^{1/4}|f(t)|\,dt\\ &\lesssim \left(|g'(0)| + \left\|S_{M_1,1}g'\right\|_{H^q}\right)\int_0^1 |f(t)|\,dt\\ &\lesssim \left(\int_0^1 M_\infty^p(t,f)\,\widehat{\omega}(t)\,dt\right)^{1/p} \lesssim \|f\|_{A^p_\omega},\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where the constants of comparison depend on p, q, ω and g.

Let first $1 < p \le q < \infty$ and assume that $g \in \Lambda\left(q, \frac{1}{p}, \widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)$, that is,

$$M_q(r,g') \le \|g - g(0)\|_{\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)} \frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-r)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad 0 \le r < 1.$$

Lemma 17, Lemma 10, the M. Riesz projection theorem and the assumption give

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_n^\omega \mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{H^q}^q &\lesssim \left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f(t)|\,dt\right)^q \left\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\right\|_{H^q}^q\\ &\lesssim \left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f(t)|\,dt\right)^q M_q^q\left(1 - \frac{1}{M_{n+1}}, g'\right)\\ &\lesssim \left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f(t)|\,dt\right)^q \widehat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{1}{M_{n+1}}\right)^{q(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} M_{n+1}^{q(1-\frac{1}{p})},\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where in the last inequality the constant of comparison depend on $\|g-g(0)\|^q_{\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)}$.

Let $f\in A^p_\omega$. Then (6) yields $M_\infty(r,f)\lesssim u_p(r)=((1-r)\widehat{\omega}(r))^{-\frac{1}{p}}$. This together the fact that $u_p\in\mathcal{R}$ by Lemma 7, and Lemma A(iv) yields

$$\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f(t)|\,dt\lesssim\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}u_p(t)\,dt\asymp\widehat{u_p}\left(1-\frac{1}{M_{n+1}}\right)\asymp\frac{u_p\left(1-\frac{1}{M_{n+1}}\right)}{M_{n+1}},$$

and thus

$$M_{n+1}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{M_{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f(t)|\,dt\lesssim\|f\|_{A^p_\omega}. \tag{37}$$

Let now $k_0\in\mathbb{N}$ to be fixed later. Since $q\geq p$, by using (36), (37), Hölder's inequality and (6), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{k_0}2^{-n}\left\|\Delta_n^\omega\mathcal{H}_g(f)\right\|^q_{H^q}\\
&\lesssim\sum_{n=1}^{k_0}2^{-n}\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f(t)|\,dt\right)^q\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{M_{n+1}}\right)^{q(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}M_{n+1}^{q(1-\frac{1}{p})}\\
&\lesssim\|f\|^{q-p}_{A^p_\omega}\sum_{n=1}^{k_0}2^{-n}\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f(t)|\,dt\right)^p M_{n+1}^{p-1}\\
&\lesssim\|f\|^{q-p}_{A^p_\omega}\left(\int_0^1|f(t)|\,dt\right)^p\sum_{n=1}^{k_0}2^{-n}M_{n+1}^{p-1}\\
&\lesssim\|f\|^{q-p}_{A^p_\omega}\int_0^1 M_\infty^p(t,f)\,\widehat{\omega}(t)\,dt\lesssim\|f\|^q_{A^p_\omega},
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where the constants of comparison depend on p, q, ω and k_0.

Let now γ_1 be the constant appearing in Lemma 6, and choose k_0 to be the smallest natural number such that $r_{k_0}\geq\max\left\{\frac{1}{2^{\gamma_1}},\frac{1}{2}\right\}$ and $2^{k_0\gamma_1}\geq 4$. Then, by

(36), (37) and Lemma 6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=k_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}\,\|\Delta_n^\omega \mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{H^q}^q \\
&\lesssim \sum_{n=k_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f(t)|\,dt\right)^q \\
&\quad \cdot \widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{M_{n+1}}\right)^{q(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} M_{n+1}^{q(1-\frac{1}{p})} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^{q-p} \sum_{n=k_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f(t)|\,dt\right)^p M_{n+1}^{p-1} \\
&\le C\|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^{q-p} 2^{(k_0+1)(\gamma_2(p-1)-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j}\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{2^{k_0\gamma_1}M_{j+1}}{4}}|f(t)|\,dt\right)^p M_{j+1}^{p-1} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^{q-p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j}\left(\int_0^1 t^{M_{j+1}}|f(t)|\,dt\right)^p M_{j+1}^{p-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{39}
$$

On the other hand, the M. Riesz projection theorem and Lemma 10 give

$$
\left\|\Delta_n^\omega\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)\right\|_{H^p}^p \asymp M_{n+1}^{p-1}.
$$

Now, by using Theorem 4 together with Lemma E(ii), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(f)\right\|_{A^p_\omega}^p &\asymp \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n}\left\|\Delta_n^\omega \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(f)\right\|_{H^p}^p \\
&\gtrsim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n}\left(\int_0^1 t^{M_{n+1}}|f(t)|\,dt\right)^p \left\|\Delta_n^\omega\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)\right\|_{H^p}^p \\
&\gtrsim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n}\left(\int_0^1 t^{M_{n+1}}|f(t)|\,dt\right)^p M_{n+1}^{p-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{40}
$$

So, by combining (34), (35), (38), (39) and (40), we finally deduce

$$
\|\mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{A^q_\omega}^q \lesssim \|g-g(0)\|_{\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)}^q \left(\|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^q + \|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^{q-p}\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(f)\right\|_{A^p_\omega}^p\right),
$$

which together with Corollary 3 gives $\|\mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{A^q_\omega}^q \lesssim \|g-g(0)\|_{\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)}^q \|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^q$. This finishes the proof of the sufficiency in the case $1 < p \le q < \infty$.

Let now $1 < q < p < \infty$ and assume that $g' \in H\left(q, s, \widehat{\omega}_{s\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right)$. By (34), (35), Lemma 17 and Hölder's inequality, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{A^q_\omega}^q &\asymp \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \|\Delta_n^\omega \mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{H^q}^q \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^q + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \|\Delta_n^\omega \mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{H^q}^q \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^q + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}} |f(t)|\,dt\right)^q \|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|_{H^q}^q \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^q + \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} M_n^{p-1} \left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}} |f(t)|\,dt\right)^p\right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|_{H^q}^s}{M_n^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)s}}\right]^{1-\frac{q}{p}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{41}
$$

Corollary 11 and the assumption $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|_{H^q}^s}{M_n^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)s}}\right]^{1-\frac{q}{p}} &\lesssim \left[\int_0^1 M_q^s(r, g')(1-r)^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)s} \omega(r)\,dr\right]^{1-\frac{q}{p}} \\
&\lesssim \|g'\|^q_{H\left(q,s,\widehat{\omega}_{s\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{42}
$$

Moreover, by arguing as in the previous case we obtain

$$
\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} M_n^{p-1} \left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}} |f(t)|\,dt\right)^p\right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \lesssim \|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^q + \|\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(f)\|_{A^p_\omega}^q \lesssim \|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^q,
$$

which together with (41) and (42) gives

$$
\|\mathcal{H}_g(f)\|_{A^q_\omega}^q \lesssim \|g'\|^q_{H\left(q,s,\widehat{\omega}_{s\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right)} \|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^q + \|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^q.
$$

The proof of the sufficiency is now complete.

6.2. **Test functions.** Before passing to the proof of the necessity part of Theorem 1, we will construct appropriate test functions. If $q < p$ we set up a family of functions $Q_\rho \in A^p_\omega$, depending on g, such that

$$
\lim_{\rho \to 1^-} \|Q_\rho\|_{A^p_\omega}^p \asymp \|g'\|_{H\left(q,s,\widehat{\omega}_{s\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right)}.
$$

In the case $q \geq p$ we will use the next result which can be proved by using ideas from [9, Lemma 1].

Lemma 18. *Let* $0 < p-1 < \gamma < \infty$ *and* $\omega \in \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$. *Let* $E \subset (0,\infty)$ *be a bounded set such that* $\operatorname{dist}(E,0) > 0$. *For* $N \in \mathbb{N}$, *let* $a_N = 1 - \frac{1}{N}$, *and consider the functions*

$$\psi_{N,\omega}(s) = \left[N^{\gamma+1}\omega\left(S\left(a_N\right)\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{sN}}{(1-a_Nt)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{p}}}\,dt, \quad s > 0,$$

and

$$\varphi_{N,\omega}(s) = \frac{1}{\psi_{N,\omega}(s)}, \quad s > 0. \tag{43}$$

Then the following assertions hold:

(i) $\psi_{N,\omega}, \varphi_{N,\omega} \in C^\infty((0,\infty))$.

(ii) *There exists a constant* $C = C(E) > 0$ *such that*

$$C^{-1}N^{-1}\omega\left(S\left(a_N\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \le |\psi_{N,\omega}(s)| \le CN^{-1}\omega\left(S\left(a_N\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad s \in E, \quad N \to \infty.$$

(iii) *For each* $m \in \mathbb{N}$, *there exists a constant* $C = C(m,E) > 0$ *such that*

$$|\psi^{(m)}_{N,\omega}(s)| \le CN^{-1}\omega\left(S\left(a_N\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad s \in E, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(iv) *For each* $m \in \mathbb{N}$, *there exists a constant* $C = C(m,E) > 0$ *such that*

$$|\varphi^{(m)}_{N,\omega}(s)| \le CN\omega\left(S\left(a_N\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad s \in E, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{44}$$

Next, we will construct the test functions which will be used in the proof of the case $q < p$. As usual, we write $f_\rho(z) = f(\rho z)$ for each $0 \le \rho < 1$.

Lemma 19. *Let* $1 < q < p < \infty$, $\omega \in \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{M}_p$ *and* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ *such that* $g' \in H\left(q, s, \widehat{\omega}_{s\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right)$. *Then the functions*

$$\phi_\rho(r) = \left(M_q(r, g'_\rho)(1-r)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}}, \quad 0 < \rho < 1,$$

and

$$Q_\rho(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{\phi_\rho(t)}{1-tz}\,dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

satisfy

$$Q_\rho(t) \gtrsim \phi_\rho(t), \quad 0 \le t < 1, \tag{45}$$

and

$$\|Q_\rho\|^p_{A^p_\omega} \asymp \int_0^1 \phi^p_\rho(t)\,\widehat{\omega}(t)\,dt < \infty. \tag{46}$$

Proof. Clearly, $Q_\rho \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ for all $0 < \rho < 1$. Moreover,

$$Q_\rho(r) \ge \int_r^1 \frac{\phi_\rho(t)}{1-tr}\,dt \ge \frac{M_q^{\frac{q}{p-q}}(r, g'_\rho)}{1-r^2} \int_r^1 (1-t)^{\frac{q-1}{p-q}}\,dt \asymp \phi_\rho(r), \quad 0 \le r < 1.$$

This and (6) give

$$\|Q_\rho\|_{A^p_\omega}^p \gtrsim \int_0^1 \phi_\rho^p(t)\,\widehat{\omega}(t)\,dt. \tag{47}$$

Since $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ by the assumption, ω is comparable to the differentiable weight $\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{1-r}$, and hence n consecutive applications of [17, Theorem 1.1] give

$$\|f\|_{A^p_\omega}^p \asymp \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f^{(j)}(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f^{(n)}(z)|^p (1-|z|)^{np}\,\omega(z)\,dA(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}). \tag{48}$$

Now

$$Q_\rho^{(n)}(z) = n! \int_0^1 \frac{t^n \phi_\rho(t)}{(1-tz)^{n+1}}\,dt,$$

and so Minkowski's inequality in continuous form yields

$$\begin{aligned} M_p(r, Q_\rho^{(n)}) &= \left(\frac{n!}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\phi_\rho(t) t^n}{(1-tre^{i\theta})^{n+1}}\,dt \right|^p d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^1 \phi_\rho(t) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{|1-tre^{i\theta}|^{np+p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \asymp \int_0^1 \frac{\phi_\rho(t)}{(1-tr)^{n-\frac{1}{p}+1}}\,dt. \end{aligned}$$

Choose now $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{q-1}{p-q} > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \frac{\phi_\rho(t)}{(1-tr)^{n-\frac{1}{p}+1}}\,dt &\le M_q(r, g_\rho')^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \int_0^r \frac{(1-t)^{\frac{q-1}{p-q}}}{(1-tr)^{n-\frac{1}{p}+1}}\,dt \\ &\le M_q(r, g_\rho')^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \int_0^r \frac{dt}{(1-tr)^{n-\frac{1}{p}+1-\frac{q-1}{p-q}}} \asymp \frac{\phi_\rho(r)}{(1-r)^{n-\frac{1}{p}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_p$, by applying [15, Theorem 2], with

$$U^p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\widehat{\omega}(x)}{(1-x)^p}, & 0 \le x < 1 \\ 0, & x \ge 1 \end{cases},$$

and

$$V^p(x) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\omega}(x), & 0 \le x < 1 \\ 0, & x \ge 1 \end{cases},$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 M_p^p(r,Q_\rho^{(n)})(1-r)^{np}\,\omega(r)\,dr\\
&\lesssim \int_0^1\left(\left(\int_0^r+\int_r^1\right)\frac{\phi_\rho(t)}{(1-tr)^{n-\frac{1}{p}+1}}\,dt\right)^p(1-r)^{np}\,\omega(r)\,dr\\
&\lesssim \int_0^1\phi_\rho^p(r)(1-r)\omega(r)\,dr+\int_0^1\left(\int_r^1\phi_\rho(t)\,dt\right)^p\frac{\omega(r)}{(1-r)^{p-1}}\,dr\\
&\lesssim \int_0^1\phi_\rho^p(r)\widehat{\omega}(r)\,dr+\int_0^1\left(\int_r^1\phi_\rho(t)\,dt\right)^p\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^{p}}\,dr\\
&\lesssim \int_0^1\phi_\rho^p(r)\widehat{\omega}(r)\,dr<\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (48) and (47) gives (46). This finishes the proof. □

6.3. **Necessity.** First we deal with the case $1<p\le q<\infty$. Let $g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^\infty b_kz^k$ be the Maclaurin series of g. By Lemma 6 there exists a positive constant $B_2=B_2(\omega)$ such that

$$1\le\frac{M_{n+1}}{M_n}\le B_2,\quad n\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{49}$$

Let us consider the functions $\psi_{M_n,\omega}$ and $\varphi_{M_n,\omega}=\frac{1}{\psi_{M_n,\omega}}$ defined in Lemma 18. For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we can find a C^∞-function $\Phi_{M_n}:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\Phi_{M_n})\subset\left(\frac{1}{2},2B_2\right)$, satisfying

$$\Phi_{M_n}(s)=\varphi_{M_n,\omega}(s),\quad 1\le s\le B_2, \tag{50}$$

and such that, by using part Lemma 18(iv), for each $m\in\mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant $C=C(m)>0$ for which

$$|\Phi_{M_n}^{(m)}(s)|\le CM_n\omega\left(S\left(a_{M_n}\right)\right)^{1/p},\quad s\in\mathbb{R},\quad n\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{51}$$

In particular, by (51) and Lemma 18(ii), we have

$$A_{\Phi_{M_n}}=\max_{s\in\mathbb{R}}|\Phi_{M_n}(s)|+\max_{s\in\mathbb{R}}|\Phi''_{M_n}(s)|\lesssim M_n\omega\left(S\left(a_{M_n}\right)\right)^{1/p}. \tag{52}$$

Let us now consider the functions

$$f_{M_n}(z)=\frac{1}{\left(M_n^{\gamma+1}\omega\left(S\left(a_{M_n}\right)\right)\right)^{1/p}}\frac{1}{(1-a_{M_n}z)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{p}}},\quad z\in\mathbb{D},\quad n\in\mathbb{N}, \tag{53}$$

where $\gamma>\max\{\gamma_0,p-1\}$ and $\gamma_0=\gamma_0(\omega)>0$ is from Lemma B. The A^p_ω-norms of the functions f_{M_n} are uniformly bounded by Lemma B. Therefore

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|\mathcal{H}_g(f_{M_n})\|_{A^q_\omega}\lesssim\|\mathcal{H}_g\|_{\left(A^p_\omega,A^q_\omega\right)}<\infty$$

by the hypothesis. This together with Theorem D(iv) and (52) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\|W_{M_n}^{\Phi_{M_n}} * \mathcal{H}_g(f_{M_n})\|_{A^q_\omega} &\lesssim A_{\Phi_{M_n}} \|\mathcal{H}_g(f_{M_n})\|_{A^q_\omega} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathcal{H}_g\|_{\left(A^p_\omega, A^q_\omega\right)} M_n \omega\left(S\left(a_{M_n}\right)\right)^{1/p}.\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

On the other hand, bearing in mind the M. Riesz projection theorem, (49), (50), (53) and (43), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}&\|W_{M_n}^{\Phi_{M_N}} * \mathcal{H}_g(f_{M_n})\|_{A^q_\omega} \\ &\gtrsim \left\| \sum_{M_n \le k \le M_{n+1}-1} (k+1) b_{k+1} \left(\int_0^1 t^k f_{M_n}(t)\, dt \right) \Phi_{M_n}\left(\frac{k}{M_n}\right) z^k \right\|_{A^q_\omega} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{M_n \le k \le M_{n+1}-1} (k+1) b_{k+1} \left(\int_0^1 t^k f_{M_n}(t)\, dt \right) \varphi_{M_n,\omega}\left(\frac{k}{M_n}\right) z^k \right\|_{A^q_\omega} \\ &= \|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|_{A^q_\omega},\end{aligned}$$

which together with (54), Lemma 16, Lemma A and (8) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|_{H^q} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{H}_g\|_{\left(A^p_\omega, A^q_\omega\right)} {M_n}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\widehat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{1}{M_n}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathcal{H}_g\|_{\left(A^p_\omega, A^q_\omega\right)} {M_n}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} 2^{-n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, Corollary 12(i) implies $g \in \Lambda\left(q, \frac{1}{p}, \widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)$ and

$$\|g - g(0)\|_{\Lambda\left(q, \frac{1}{p}, \widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{H}_g\|_{\left(A^p_\omega, A^q_\omega\right)}.$$

Let now $1 < q < p < \infty$ and assume that $\mathcal{H}_g : A^p_\omega \to A^q_\omega$ is bounded. Let $\{\phi_\rho\}$ and $\{Q_\rho\}$ be the families of functions considered in Lemma 19. Since each Q_ρ is increasing on $[0,1)$, Lemma 6 gives

$$\int_0^1 t^{M_n} Q_\rho(t)\, dt \asymp \int_0^1 t^{M_{n+1}} Q_\rho(t)\, dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So, Theorem 4, Lemma E and Lemma 10 imply

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{H}_{g_\rho}(Q_\rho)\|^q_{A^q_\omega} &\asymp \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n} \|\Delta_n^\omega \mathcal{H}_{g_\rho}(Q_\rho)\|^q_{H^q} \\ &\asymp \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n} \left(\int_0^1 t^{M_n} Q_\rho(t)\, dt \right)^q \|\Delta_n^\omega g_\rho'\|^q_{H^q} \\ &\asymp \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n} \left(\int_0^1 t^{M_n} Q_\rho(t)\, dt \right)^q M_q^q\left(1 - \frac{1}{M_{n+1}}, \Delta_n^\omega g_\rho'\right).\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Since Q_ρ is increasing on $[0,1)$, (45), the M. Riesz projection theorem and Lemma 10 yield

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 t^{M_n} Q_\rho(t)\,dt &\gtrsim \frac{Q_\rho\left(1-\frac{1}{M_{n+1}}\right)}{M_{n+1}}\\ &\gtrsim \frac{M_q^{\frac{q}{p-q}}\left(1-\frac{1}{M_{n+1}}, g_\rho'\right)}{M_{n+1}^{1+\frac{q-1}{p-q}}} \gtrsim \frac{\|\Delta_n^\omega g_\rho'\|_{H^q}^{\frac{q}{p-q}}}{M_{n+1}^{\frac{p-1}{p-q}}}.\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

So, by combining (55), (56), Corollary 11 and Lemma 19, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{H}_{g_\rho}(Q_\rho)\|_{A^q_\omega}^q &\gtrsim \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n}\frac{1}{M_{n+1}^{q\frac{p-1}{p-q}}}\|\Delta_n^\omega g_\rho'\|_{H^q}^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}\\ &\asymp \int_0^1 M_q^s(r,g_\rho')(1-r)^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)s}\omega(r)\,dr\\ &\asymp \int_0^1 \phi_\rho^p(r)\,\widehat{\omega}(r)\,dr \asymp \|Q_\rho\|_{A^p_\omega}^p.\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Further, (55) yields

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{g_\rho}(Q_\rho)\|_{A^q_\omega}^q \le C\|\mathcal{H}_g(Q_\rho)\|_{A^q_\omega}^q, \quad 0<\rho<1,$$

where C does not depend on ρ. This together with (57) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\infty > \|\mathcal{H}_g\|_{\left(A^p_\omega, A^q_\omega\right)}^q &\ge \frac{\|\mathcal{H}_g(Q_\rho)\|_{A^q_\omega}^q}{\|Q_\rho\|_{A^p_\omega}^q} \gtrsim \frac{\|\mathcal{H}_{g_\rho}(Q_\rho)\|_{A^q_\omega}^q}{\|Q_\rho\|_{A^p_\omega}^q}\\ &\gtrsim \|Q_\rho\|_{A^p_\omega}^{p-q} \asymp \|g_\rho'\|_{H\left(q,s,\widehat{\omega}_{s\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right)}^q,\end{aligned}$$

so, by letting $\rho\to 1^-$, we deduce

$$\|\mathcal{H}_g\|_{\left(A^p_\omega, A^q_\omega\right)}^q \gtrsim \|g'\|_{H\left(q,s,\widehat{\omega}_{s\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right)}^q.$$

This finishes the proof.

7. COMPACT AND HILBERT-SCHMIDT OPERATORS

7.1. **Compactness.**

The main objective of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 20. *Let $1<p,q<\infty$, $\omega\in\mathcal{R}\cap\mathcal{M}_p$ and $g\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$.*

- (i) *If $1<p\le q<\infty$, then $\mathcal{H}_g: A^p_\omega\to A^q_\omega$ is compact if and only if $g\in\lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)$.*
- (ii) *If $1<q<p<\infty$, then $\mathcal{H}_g: A^p_\omega\to A^q_\omega$ is compact if and only it is bounded.*

We will need the following lemma, which can be easily proved by using (2), Hölder's inequality and (6).

Lemma 21. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let ω be a radial weight such that (2) is satisfied. Let $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in A^p_ω such that $\sup_j \|f_j\|_{A^p_\omega} = K < \infty$ and $f_j \to 0$, as $j \to \infty$, uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) $\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_0^1 |f_j(t)|\,dt = 0$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{H}_g(f_j) \to 0$, *as* $j \to \infty$, *uniformly on compact subsets of* $\mathbb{D}$ *for each* $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$.

Next, we remind the reader that for $\omega \in \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$, the norm convergence in A^p_ω implies the uniform convergence on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ by [18, Lemma 2.5]. This fact and Lemma 21 are the key tools in the proof of the following result whose proof will be omitted.

Lemma 22. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$ such that (2) is satisfied, and let $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{H}_g : A^p_\omega \to A^q_\omega$ *is compact;*
- (ii) *For each sequence* $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ *in* A^p_ω *for which*

$$\sup_k \|f_j\|_{A^p_\omega} = K < \infty \tag{58}$$

and

$$f_j \to 0, \text{ as } j \to \infty, \text{ uniformly on compact subsets of } \mathbb{D}, \tag{59}$$

we have $\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\mathcal{H}_g(f_j)\|_{A^q_\omega} = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 20. (i). Assume first that $\mathcal{H}_g : A^p_\omega \to A^q_\omega$ is compact. Let $\{f_{M_n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the family of test functions

$$f_{M_n}(z) = \frac{1}{\left(M_n^{\gamma+1}\omega\left(S\left(a_{M_n}\right)\right)\right)^{1/p}} \frac{1}{(1 - a_{M_n} z)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{p}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

considered in (53). If γ is large enough, Lemma B ensures that $\{f_{M_n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies (58). Now the proof of Lemma [18, Lemma 1.1] shows that $\lim_{|a|\to 1^-} \frac{(1-|a|)^\gamma}{\widehat{\omega}(|a|)} = 0$, if $\gamma > 0$ is again large enough. So, if γ is fixed appropriately, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f_{M_n}(z) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\left({M_n}^\gamma \widehat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{1}{M_n}\right)\right)^{1/p}} \frac{1}{(1 - a_{M_n} z)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{p}}} = 0$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. Thus $\{f_{M_n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies (59). Therefore Lemma 22 implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mathcal{H}_g(f_{M_n})\|_{A^p_\omega} = 0. \tag{60}$$

Next, a careful inspection of the proof of the necessity part of Theorem 1 reveals the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|_{H^q} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{H}_g(f_{M_n})\|_{A^q_\omega} {M_n}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{M_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\\ &\lesssim \|\mathcal{H}_g(f_{M_n})\|_{A^q_\omega} {M_n}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}2^{-n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)}, \quad n\in\mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, (60) and Corollary 12(ii) imply $g\in\lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)$.

Conversely, let $\varepsilon>0$ and $g\in\lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)$. Then there exists $r_0=r_0(\varepsilon)\in[0,1)$ such that

$$M_q^q(r,g')\le\varepsilon\frac{\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{q}{p}-1}(r)}{(1-r)^{q(1-\frac{1}{p})}},\quad r\ge r_0. \tag{61}$$

Let now k_0 be the integer which appears in the proof of the sufficiency part of Theorem 1, and choose $n_0\ge k_0$, such that

$$1-\frac{1}{M_{n+1}}\ge r_0,\quad n\ge n_0. \tag{62}$$

Let $\{f_j\}$ be a sequence of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying (58) and (59). By arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1 and bearing in mind that $\lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)\subset\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\right)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{n_0}2^{-n}\|\Delta_n^\omega\mathcal{H}_g(f_j)\|_{H^q}^q &\lesssim \|f_j\|_{A^p_\omega}^{q-p}\sum_{n=0}^{n_0}2^{-n}\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f_j(t)|\,dt\right)^p M_{n+1}^{p-1}\\ &\lesssim\left(\int_0^1|f_j(t)|\,dt\right)^p,\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where the constants of comparison depend on g, p, ω, K and n_0.

On the other hand, an analogous reasoning to that in (36), (61), (62), (37) and (58) give

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_n^\omega\mathcal{H}_g(f_j)\|_{H^q}^q &\lesssim\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f_j(t)|\,dt\right)^q\left\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\right\|_{H^q}^q\\ &\lesssim\varepsilon\|f_j\|_{A^p_\omega}^{q-p}\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f_j(t)|\,dt\right)^p M_{n+1}^{p-1}\\ &\le\varepsilon K^{q-p}\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f_j(t)|\,dt\right)^p M_{n+1}^{p-1},\end{aligned}$$

so bearing in mind that $n_0\ge k_0$, Corollary 3, (58) and following the proof of Theorem 1, we get

$$\sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty}2^{-n}\|\Delta_n^\omega\mathcal{H}_g(f_j)\|_{H^q}^q\lesssim\varepsilon K^{q-p}\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(f_j)\right\|_{A^p_\omega}^p\lesssim\varepsilon K^{q-p}\|f_j\|_{A^p_\omega}^p\lesssim\varepsilon K^q.$$

This together with (34), (63) and Lemma 21, imply

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\|\mathcal{H}_g(f_j)\|^p_{A^p_\omega}\lesssim\lim_{j\to\infty}\left(\left(\int_0^1|f_j(t)|\,dt\right)^p+\varepsilon K^q\right)\lesssim\varepsilon K^q.$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, Lemma 22 shows that $\mathcal{H}_g:A^p_\omega\to A^q_\omega$ is compact.

(ii). By Theorem 1(ii) it is enough to show that $\mathcal{H}_g:A^p_\omega\to A^q_\omega$ is compact if $g'\in H(q,s,\widehat{\omega}^{s(1-\frac{1}{q})})$. Let $\{f_j\}$ be a sequence of analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying (58) and (59). Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. By the proof of Corollary 11, there exists $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left[\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}2^{-n}\frac{\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|^s_{H^q}}{M_n^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)s}}\right]^{1-\frac{q}{p}}<\varepsilon$$

for all $n\ge n_0$. By Hölder's inequality, (58) and a reasoning similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}2^{-n}\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f_j(t)|\,dt\right)^q\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|^q_{H^q}\\
&\le\left[\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}2^{-n}M_n^{p-1}\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f_j(t)|\,dt\right)^p\right]^{\frac{q}{p}}\left[\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}2^{-n}\frac{\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|^s_{H^q}}{M_n^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)s}}\right]^{1-\frac{q}{p}}\\
&\lesssim\varepsilon\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}2^{-n}M_n^{p-1}\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f_j(t)|\,dt\right)^p\right]^{\frac{q}{p}}\\
&\lesssim\varepsilon\left(\|f_j\|^q_{A^p_\omega}+\|\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(f_j)\|^q_{A^p_\omega}\right)\lesssim\varepsilon\|f_j\|^q_{A^p_\omega}\lesssim\varepsilon K^q.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, (35) and Lemma 17 give

$$\sum_{n=0}^{n_0-1}2^{-n}\|\Delta_n^\omega\mathcal{H}_g(f)\|^q_{H^q}\lesssim\left(\int_0^1|f_j(t)|\,dt\right)^q\sum_{n=0}^{n_0-1}\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|^q_{H^q},$$

and hence by (34) and Lemma 17

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{H}_g(f_j)\|^q_{A^q_\omega}\\
&\lesssim\sum_{n=0}^{n_0-1}2^{-n}\|\Delta_n^\omega\mathcal{H}_g(f_j)\|^q_{H^q}+\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}2^{-n}\left(\int_0^1 t^{\frac{M_n}{4}}|f_j(t)|\,dt\right)^q\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|^q_{H^q}\\
&\lesssim\left(\int_0^1|f_j(t)|\,dt\right)^q\sum_{n=0}^{n_0-1}\|\Delta_n^\omega g'\|^q_{H^q}+\varepsilon K^q.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary and $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ fixed, Lemma 21 gives

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\|\mathcal{H}_g(f_j)\|_{A^q_\omega}=0,$$

which together with Lemma 22 finishes the proof. □

7.2. **Hilbert-Schmidt operators.** In this section we offer a characterization of those symbols g for which the operator $\mathcal{H}_g$ is Hilbert-Schmidt on A^2_ω, where $\omega \in \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{M}_2$. Recall that the classical *Dirichlet space* consists of those functions $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$\|g\|^2_{\mathcal{D}} = |g(0)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g'(z)|^2 \, dA(z) < \infty.$$

Theorem 23. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{M}_2$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. Then $\mathcal{H}_g$ is Hilbert-Schmidt on A^2_ω if and only if $g \in \mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. Denote

$$\omega_n = \int_0^1 r^{2n+1}\omega(r)\, dr, \quad e_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{2}\,\omega_n^{1/2}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and consider the basis $\{e_n\}$ of A^2_ω. If $g(z) = \sum_0^\infty b_k z^k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, then

$$\|\mathcal{H}_g(e_n)\|^2_{A^2_\omega} = \frac{1}{2\omega_n} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(k+1)^2|b_{k+1}|^2\omega_k}{(n+k+1)^2}.$$

We claim that

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(n+k+1)^2\omega_n} \asymp \frac{1}{(k+1)\omega_k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{64}$$

So, assuming this for a moment, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^\infty \|\mathcal{H}_g(e_n)\|^2_{A^2_\omega} &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{2\omega_n} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(k+1)^2|b_{k+1}|^2\omega_k}{(n+k+1)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^\infty (k+1)^2|b_{k+1}|^2\omega_k \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(n+k+1)^2\omega_n} \\
&\asymp \sum_{k=0}^\infty (k+1)|b_{k+1}|^2 \asymp \|g-g(0)\|^2_{\mathcal{D}},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the assertion. It remains to prove (64). Clearly,

$$\sum_{n=k+1}^\infty \frac{1}{(n+k+1)^2\omega_n} \ge \frac{1}{\omega_k} \sum_{n=k+1}^\infty \frac{1}{(n+k+1)^2} \asymp \frac{1}{(k+1)\omega_k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{65}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{n=0}^k \frac{1}{(n+k+1)^2\omega_n} \le \frac{1}{\omega_k} \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{1}{(n+k+1)^2} \asymp \frac{1}{(k+1)\omega_k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{66}$$

Moreover, since $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_2$, Lemma 7 yields

$$\int_r^1 \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)} \asymp \frac{(1-r)}{\widehat{\omega}(r)},$$

which together with Lemma A(i) and (iv) gives

$$\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(n+k+1)^2\omega_n}\le\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(n+1)^2\omega_n}\asymp\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)}\int_{1-\frac{1}{n}}^{1-\frac{1}{n+1}}dt$$
$$\le\int_{1-\frac{1}{k+1}}^{1}\frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)}\asymp\frac{1}{(k+1)\widehat{\omega}\left(1-\frac{1}{k+1}\right)}\asymp\frac{1}{(k+1)\omega_k}.$$

This combined with (66) and (65) yields (64) and finishes the proof. □

8. Further results

8.1. **Descriptions of weighted spaces.** In view of [8, Theorem 5.4] it is natural to expect that, under appropriate assumptions, the space $\Lambda\left(q,\alpha,\widehat{\omega}^{\eta}\right)$ could be characterized by a weighted q-mean Lipschitz condition. We show that this is indeed the case of those spaces to which the containment of the symbol g characterizes the boundedness of $\mathcal{H}_g : A^p_\omega \to A^q_\omega$ when $1 < p \le q < \infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{R}\cap\mathcal{M}_p$.

Proposition 24. *Let* $1 < q, p < \infty$, $\eta \in \left[0,\frac{1}{p}\right)$, $\omega\in\mathcal{R}\cap\mathcal{M}_p$ *and* $g\in\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$. *The the following assertions hold:*

(i) $g\in\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\eta}\right)$ *if and only if* $g\in H^q$ *and*

$$\sup_{0<h\le t}\left(\int_0^{2\pi}|g(e^{i(\theta+h)})-g(e^{i\theta})|^q\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\right)^{1/q}=\mathrm{O}(t^{\frac{1}{p}}\widehat{\omega}^{\eta}(1-t)),\quad t\to 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\|g\|_{\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\eta}\right)}\asymp|g(0)|+\sup_{0<h\le t}\frac{\left(\int_0^{2\pi}|g(e^{i(\theta+h)})-g(e^{i\theta})|^q\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\right)^{1/q}}{t^{\frac{1}{p}}\widehat{\omega}^{\eta}(1-t)}.$$

(ii) $g\in\lambda(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\eta})$ *if and only if* $g\in H^q$ *and*

$$\sup_{0<h\le t}\left(\int_0^{2\pi}|g(e^{i(\theta+h)})-g(e^{i\theta})|^q\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\right)^{1/q}=\mathrm{o}(t^{\frac{1}{p}}\widehat{\omega}^{\eta}(1-t)),\quad t\to 0.$$

Proof. The proof of (i) consists of a direct application of [2, Theorem 2.1(i)]. First, observe that if $g\in\Lambda\left(q,\frac{1}{p},\widehat{\omega}^{\eta}\right)$, then $g\in H^q$. Now, if we choose

$$\varrho(t)=t^{\frac{1}{p}}\widehat{\omega}^{\eta}(1-t),\quad 0\le t<1,$$

it suffices to show that ϱ satisfies both, the *Dini condition*

$$\int_0^t\frac{\varrho(s)}{s}\,ds\lesssim\varrho(t),\quad 0<t<1,\tag{67}$$

and the b_1-*condition*

$$\int_t^1\frac{\varrho(s)}{s^2}\,ds\lesssim\frac{\varrho(t)}{t},\quad 0<t<1.\tag{68}$$

By Lemma A(i), we deduce the inequality

$$\int_0^t s^{\frac{1}{p}-1}\left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(1-s)}{\widehat{\omega}(1-t)}\right)^{\eta} ds \le \frac{1}{t^{\alpha\eta}}\int_0^t s^{\frac{1}{p}+\alpha\eta-1}\, ds = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\frac{1}{p}+\alpha\eta},$$

which is equivalent to (67). Moreover, by using the fact that $\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-r)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}$ is essentially increasing (see the proof of Lemma 8(iii)) and again Lemma A(i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_t^1 \frac{\varrho(s)}{s^2}\, ds &= \int_0^{1-t} \frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{\eta}}{(1-r)^{2-\frac{1}{p}}}\, dr \lesssim \frac{\widehat{\omega}(1-t)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{t^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}\int_0^{1-t}\frac{dr}{(1-r)\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\eta}(r)}\\
&= \frac{\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-t)}{\widehat{\omega}(1-t)^{\frac{1}{p}-\eta}t^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}\int_0^{1-t}\frac{\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\eta}(1-t)}{\widehat{\omega}^{\frac{1}{p}-\eta}(r)}\frac{dr}{1-r}\\
&\le \frac{\widehat{\omega}^{\eta}(1-t)t^{\alpha\left(\frac{1}{p}-\eta\right)}}{t^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}\int_0^{1-t}\frac{dr}{(1-r)^{1+\alpha\left(\frac{1}{p}-\eta\right)}}\\
&\lesssim \frac{\widehat{\omega}^{\eta}(1-t)}{t^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} = \frac{\varrho(t)}{t}, \quad 0<t<1,
\end{aligned}$$

which is (68).

With the proof of [2, Theorem 2.1] in hand, the second assertion (ii) can be proved in an analogous manner with minor modifications. □

If we choose $\omega(r)=(1-r^2)^{\alpha}$, where $-1<p-2<\alpha<\infty$, then Theorem 1(i) and Proposition 24 show that $\mathcal{H}_g : A^p_\alpha \to A^q_\alpha$, $q\ge p$, is bounded if and only if g belongs to the mean Lipschitz space $\Lambda\left(q, \frac{2+\alpha}{p}-\frac{1+\alpha}{q}\right)$.

With respect to the condition that characterizes the bounded operators $\mathcal{H}_g : A^p_\omega \to A^q_\omega$, when $1<q<p<\infty$ and $\omega\in\mathcal{R}\cap\mathcal{M}_p$, it is worth noticing that

$$g' \in H\left(q,s,\widehat{\omega}_{s\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right) \Leftrightarrow g\in H\left(q,s,\widehat{\omega}_{-\frac{s}{q}}\right) \tag{69}$$

by [17, Theorem 1.1], provided that $(1-r)^{-\frac{s}{q}}\widehat{\omega}(r)\in\mathcal{R}$. This last requirement may happen only if $q<p-1$, because

$$(1-r)^{-\frac{s}{q}}\widehat{\omega}(r) = \frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^{p-1}}\frac{1}{(1-r)^{1+\frac{p}{p-q}-p}}$$

and $\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^{p-1}}$ is essentially increasing. In particular, the previous argument says that (69) does not hold if $1<p\le 2$. It is also worth noticing that for the standard weight $\omega(r)=(1-r)^{\alpha}$ that belongs to $\mathcal{M}_p$, the equivalence (69) is satisfied when $p-2>\alpha>\frac{p}{p-q}-2$.

8.2. **Analysis on the Muckenhoupt type condition.** We saw in Theorem 2 that the Muckenhoupt type condition (3) characterizes the boundedness of both the Hilbert operator $\mathcal{H}$ and the sublinear Hilbert operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ from $L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}$ to A^p_ω whenever ω is regular and satisfies the integral condition (2), and further, that the quantity $\mathcal{M}_p(\omega)$ is comparable to the operator norm in both cases. Both integral conditions (2) and (3) restrict the behavior of the inducing weight ω in their own way and thus also affect to the nature of the spaces $L^p_{\widehat{\omega}}$ and A^p_ω as well. To understand these conditions better, we compare them to the pointwise behavior of the quotient $\psi_\omega(r)/(1-r)$ appearing in the definitions of the regular and rapidly increasing weights.

Lemma 25. *Let ω a continuous radial weight and $1<p<\infty$.*

(i) *If* (2) *holds and*

$$\liminf_{r\to1^-}\frac{\psi_\omega(r)}{1-r}>\frac{1}{p-1},$$

then $\omega\in\mathcal{M}_p$.

(ii) *If* (2) *holds and*

$$\lim_{r\to1^-}\frac{\psi_\omega(r)}{1-r}=\frac{1}{p-1},$$

then $\omega\notin\mathcal{M}_p$.

(iii) *If there exists $r^\star\in(0,1)$ such that*

$$\frac{\psi_\omega(r)}{1-r}\le\frac{1}{p-1},\quad r^\star\le r<1,$$

then (2) *does not hold.*

Proof. (i). By the assumption, there exist $d>\frac{1}{p-1}$ and $r_0\in(0,1)$ such that $\frac{\psi_\omega(r)}{1-r}\ge d$ on $[r_0,1)$. Therefore the differentiable function $h_d(r)=\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^{\frac{1}{d}}}$ is increasing on $[r_0,1)$, and hence

$$\widehat{\omega}(r)\lesssim\left(\frac{1-r}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}}\widehat{\omega}(t),\quad 0\le r\le t<1.$$

It follows that

$$\int_r^1\widehat{\omega}(t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}dt\lesssim\widehat{\omega}(r)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(1-r)^{\frac{1}{d(p-1)}}\int_r^1(1-t)^{-\frac{1}{d(p-1)}}\,dt\asymp(1-r)\widehat{\omega}(r)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Since trivially,

$$\int_r^1\widehat{\omega}(t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\,dt\ge(1-r)\widehat{\omega}(r)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

we deduce $\widehat{\omega}^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\in\mathcal{R}$, and thus $\omega\in\mathcal{M}_p$ by Lemma 7.

(ii). The assertion follows by the Bernouilli-l'Hôpital theorem and Lemma 7(i).

(iii). The assumption yields

$$\widehat{\omega}(r)\gtrsim\left(\frac{1-r}{1-t}\right)^{p-1}\widehat{\omega}(t),\quad 0\le r\le t<1,$$

and hence

$$\int_r^1 \widehat{\omega}(t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\,dt \gtrsim \widehat{\omega}(r)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(1-r)\int_r^1 \frac{dt}{1-t} = \infty.$$

□

It is worth noticing that there there exists regular weights ω such that $\lim_{r\to 1^-}\frac{\psi_\omega(r)}{1-r}$ does not exist. The weight ω, defined by the identity

$$\int_r^1 \omega(s)\,ds = 2(1-r)\cos\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{1/2}}\right) + 16(1-r)^{1/2},$$

gives is a concrete example.

The bigger the limit $\lim_{r\to 1^-}\frac{\psi_\omega(r)}{1-r}$ is (if it exists), the smaller the space A^p_ω is. Therefore, in view of Lemma 25, Theorem 1(i) says, roughly speaking, that the Hilbert operator $\mathcal{H}$ is well defined and bounded on A^p_ω for $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$ whenever the space is small enough. It is known that the weighted Bergman space A^p_ω induced by a rapidly increasing weight ω lies closer to the Hardy space H^p than any classical weighted Bergman space A^p_α [18]. Therefore, by the observation above and results in [9], it is natural to expect that if $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$ (and satisfies some local regularity requirement), then $\mathcal{H}_g$ is bounded on A^p_ω if and only if g belongs to the mean Lipschitz space $\Lambda\left(p, \frac{1}{p}\right)$. The proof of Theorem 1 with minor modifications show that $g \in \Lambda\left(p, \frac{1}{p}\right)$ is indeed a necessary condition for $\mathcal{H}_g : A^p_\omega \to A^p_\omega$ to be bounded when $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$. It is also appropriate to mention that the question of characterizing the bounded operators $\mathcal{H}_g$ on A^p_ω with $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$ is more likely related to the open problem of describing those $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\mathcal{H}_g : H^p \to H^p$ is bounded in the case $2 < p < \infty$ [9].

References

[1] A. Aleman, A. Montes-Rodríguez and A. Sarafoleanu, *The eigenfunctions of the Hilbert matrix*, to appear in Const. Approx.

[2] O. Blasco and G. Soares de Souza, *Spaces of Analytic functions on the disc where the growth of $M_p(r, F)$ depends on a weight,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 147 (1990), 580–598.

[3] P. S. Bourdon, J. H. Shapiro and W. T. Sledd, *Fourier series, mean lipschitz spaces and bounded mean oscillation*, Analysis at Urbana, 1 (1986), LMS Lecture Note Series n. 137, (1989), 81–110.

[4] E. Diamantopoulos and A. Siskakis, *Composition operators and the Hilbert matrix*, Studia Math. 140 (2000), 191–198.

[5] E. Diamantopoulos, *Hilbert matrix on Bergman spaces*, Illinois J. of Math.48 n. 3 Fall (2004), 1067–1078.

[6] M. Dostanić, M. Jevtić and D. Vukotić, *Norm of the Hilbet matrix on Bergman and Hardy spaces and a theorem of Nehari type*, J. Funct. Anal. 254 n. 11 (2008), 2800-2815.

[7] M. Arsenović, M. Jevtić and D. Vukotić, *Taylor coefficients of analytic functions and coefficients multipliers,* preprint.

[8] P. Duren, Theory of H^p Spaces, Academic Press, New York-London 1970.

[9] P. Galanopoulos, D. Girela, J. A. Peláez and A. Siskakis, *Generalized Hilbert operators on classical spaces of analytic functions*, preprint.

[10] D. Girela, M. Pavlović and J. A. Peláez, *Spaces of analytic functions of Hardy-Bloch type,* J. Anal. Math. 100 (2006), 53–81.

[11] B. Lanucha, M. Nowak and M. Pavlovic *Hilbert matrix operator on spaces of analytic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. 37 (2012), 161–174.

[12] D. H. Luecking, *Representation and duality in weighted spaces of analytic functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. 42 n. 2 (1985), 319–336.

[13] M. Mateljevic and M. Pavlovic, *L^p-behaviour of power series with positive coefficients and Hardy spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1983), 309–316.

[14] M. Mateljevic and M. Pavlovic, *L^p behaviour of the integral means of analytic functions*, Studia Math. 77 (1984), 219–237.

[15] B. Muckenhoupt, *Hardy's inequality with weights*, Studia Math. 64 (1972), 31–38.

[16] M. Pavlović, Introduction to function spaces on the Disk, Posebna Izdanja [Special Editions], vol. 20, Matematički Institut SANU, Beograd, 2004.

[17] M. Pavlović and J. A. Peláez, *An equivalence for weighted integrals of an analytic function and its derivative,* Math. Nachr. 281 no. 11 (2008), 1612-1623.

[18] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, *Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights,* to appear in Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., 2012.

[19] Ch. Pommerenke, *Uber die Mittelwerte und Koeffizienten multivalenter Funktionen,* Math. Ann. 145 (1961/62), 285–296.

[20] A. Siskakis, *Weighted integrals of analytic functions,* Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 66 n. 3-4 (2000), 651-664.

[21] Zygmund A., Trigonometric Series, Cambridge University Press, London, 1959.

Departamento de Analisis Matematico, Universidad de Malaga, Campus de Teatinos, 29071 Malaga, Spain
E-mail address: `japelaez@uma.es`

University of Eastern Finland, P.O.Box 111, 80101 Joensuu, Finland
E-mail address: `jouni.rattya@uef.fi`